Journal of Engineering Research and Reports

5(1): 1-14, 2019; Article no.JERR.47628

Ikenna C. Nwokedi^{1*} and Philomena K. Igbokwe¹

1 Department of Chemical Engineering, Nnamdi Azikiwe University, P.M.B. 5025, Awka, Anambra State, Nigeria.

Authors' contributions

This work was carried out in collaboration between both authors. Both authors read and approved the final manuscript.

Article Information

DOI: 10.9734/JERR/2019/v5i116915 *Editor(s):* (1) Dr. Ravi Kant, Professor, Department of Physics, Bhai Gurdas Degree College, Maharaja Ranjit Singh Sate Technical University, Bathinda (Punjab), India. (2) Dr. Leandro A. Pasa, Professor, Campus Medianeira da Universidade Tecnologica Federal do Parana, Brazil. *Reviewers:* (1) Antipas T. S. Massawe, University of Dar Es Salaam, Tanzania. (2) Julian Cruz-Olivares, Autonomous University of State of Mexico, Mexico. Complete Peer review History: http://www.sdiarticle3.com/review-history/47628

Original Research Article

Received 23 February 2019 Accepted 1 May 2019 Published 10 May 2019

ABSTRACT

The design of a prototype chemical process absorption column was carried out to facilitate the sequestration of $CO₂$ from flue gas emanating from an exhaust point of a power generating set. Factors such as ambient temperature and atmospheric pressure where factored into consideration before the fabrication of the absorption column. The rate of the absorbing liquid is 0.1056kg/min and contains 5% mole/mole carbon (iv) oxide. Also the energy and material balance of the entire sequestration process was verified as well as the equipment design for the process was carried out.

Keywords: Material balance; energy balance; CO2 sequestration; ammonia; equipment design; absorption column; knockout drum; absorber; evaporative gas cooler; solution cooler; solution heat exchanger; flash drum; stripper; and reboiler.

1. INTRODUCTION

The scientific community agrees that anthropogenic $CO₂$ emission, mainly generating

by fossil-fuel power plants, is among the main contributors to global warming [1]. Although the transition of the existing infrastructure from carbon-based sources to cleaner alternatives would be ideal in this regard, such a change requires considerable modifications to the current energy framework, and many of the proposed technologies are not yet sufficiently developed to facilitate large-scale industrial implementation [2]. Thus, carbon capture and sequestration (CCS) technology that efficiently capture $CO₂$ from existing emission sources will play a vital role until more significant modifications to the energy infrastructure can be realized. Plant design is a technical term that embraces all engineering aspects involved in the development of either a new, modified, or expanded industrial plant [3]. It involves the economic evaluation of new processes, design of industrial pieces of equipment for a new plant or the development a plant layout for the co-ordination of the overall operation [4]. Present strategies for the mitigation of the atmospheric carbon (IV) oxide build-up are relied on the energy use efficiency, and the reduction of fossil fuels consumption for increased use of renewable energy sources or nuclear power plants. Thus, the inevitable way of keeping the global $CO₂$ load in the atmosphere and hydrosphere below unbearable levels is the complementing of emission reduction [5] efforts by the capture $CO₂$ before it emits from point sources, or from its carrying air stream emitting from the point of sources, and to store it permanently outside the atmosphere.

2. MATERIALS AND METHODS

2.1 Methodology

Due to the nature of the equipment made of glassware and in order to control the experiment, standard conditions of ambient temperature and atmospheric pressure were adopted for the process, and also for the flow rate of the solution into the absorption column. Three independent variables were used: the concentration of solvent ranging from 2-10 mol/dm³, contact time of 20-100 seconds and volume of solvent from 40-200 ml.

For the carbon sequestration to be achieved, 10 mol/dm³ concentration of aqueous ammonia was prepared and poured into a flask containing ammonia solution which supplied the solution to the absorber, the aqueous ammonia was evenly distributed across the inner surface of the column while in contact with the plates. The petrol generating set was turned on while the gas analyzer detected the components and quantity of gases before it was charged into the heat exchanger. The heat exchanger helped to attain

the desired temperature of 40° C before the flue gas was charged into the absorption column from the entry point near the base of the absorption column. The flue gas in the column contacted with the aqueous ammonia in a counter current form for a period of 60 seconds after which the tap at the exit point close to the top of the absorption column was opened and gas analyzer used to determine the amount of $CO₂$ leaving the column.

2.2 Chemical Absorption-Amine Absorption/Stripping Technology

A typical chemical absorption process consists of an absorber and a stripper in which absorbent is thermally regenerated [6]. Chemical absorption process was the adopted method for this work with ammonia used as the absorbent. Ammonia was chosen as the most suitable solvent and absorbent for this work because of its large absorption capacity, small heat of reaction, fast kinetics, high $CO₂$ selectivity, it is cheap and does not degrade and ammonia is not affected by O_2 and SO_2 . In a chemical absorption process, the flue gas containing $CO₂$ enters a packed bed absorber from the bottom and contacts counter-currently with a $CO₂$ -lean absorbent, after absorption, the $CO₂$ -rich absorbent flows into a stripper for thermal regeneration. In the aftermath regeneration, the $CO₂$ -lean absorbent is pumped back to the absorber for cyclic use. The pure $CO₂$ released from the stripper is compressed for subsequent transportation and storage [7]. The advantage of a chemical absorption technology is that it is the most matured technology for $CO₂$ capture and it has been commercialized for many decades. Another advantage of this technology is that it is suitable for retrofitting of the existing power plants.

2.3 Materials

The materials made up of glass wares were fabricated at scientific research and development institute; they were put together alongside other components purchased from a science apparatus market to make a complete absorption column. The equipment has an absorption column, flask containing the ammonia solution, reservoir to house the content of the mixture in the aftermath of the reaction, openings for flue gas entrant and exit point after the reaction, nonheat sensitive pipe connected to the entry point of the absorption column and the exhaust pipe of the gasoline generating set.

Equation for the Reaction: [8,9]

i)
$$
CO_2
$$
 Absorption
 $2CO_2(g) + 2NH_3(aq) + H_2O \rightarrow NH_2COONH^+(aq) + H_2CO_3$

ii)Ammonia Regeneration NH_2 COONH⁺₄(aq) + H₂O \rightarrow H₂CO₃ + 2NH₃

About 98% recovery of $CO₂$ occurs and the recovery liquid is a 20% w/w NH₃

Assumptions:

1) The rate of the absorbing liquid is 0.1056kg/min and contains 5% mole/mole carbon (iv) oxide.

- 2) The spent air effluent analysis, 0.000347ft³/s at 30⁰C, 1atm with % composition on dry basis of carbon (IV) oxide (3.5%), nitrogen (79%) and oxygen (17.5%). The exit air is saturated with water vapour at the absorbing liquid inlet temperature of 40 $\rm ^{0}C.$ very of CO_2 occurs and the **Feed Stream**

120% w/w NH₃

Stream 2: Spo
 $CO_2 = 3.5\%$

Nitrogen = 79

of the absorbing liquid is Oxygen = 17.

n and contains 5% mole/mole

xide.
 Total volur

xide.
 Total volur

xid
- 3) Recovery of 85% $CO₂$.
- 4) Reaction equation

Process Details:

Basis: 1 minute operation

Stream 2: Spent air effluent (dry basis) $CO₂ = 3.5%$ Nitrogen = 79% Oxygen = 17.5% Spent air effluent (dry basis)

79%

77.5%
 ILUME of spent air effluent =
 $\int_0^3 f^3/s$
 TS AND DISCUSSION

ring of CO₂ from spent air effluent was

through the absorption of CO₂ with

Total volume of spent air effluent = $0.000347Ft^3/s$

3. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

The capturing of $CO₂$ from spent air effluent was achieved through the absorption of $CO₂$ with ammonia solution to form ammonia carbamate which was later regenerated to recover the ammonia and $CO₂$. The raw gas (air effluent from a generating set) was cooled to about 40° C (reaction temp.) and separated to remove any condensed water from the raw gas. Dry air effluent was charged to the absorption column. In to form ammonia carbamate
regenerated to recover the
The raw gas (air effluent from
was cooled to about 40° C า temp.) and separated to remove any
sed water from the raw gas. Dry air
was charged to the absorption column. In

Fig. 1. Experimental set-up and sketch diagram for absorption using the prototype semi up prototype column semi-batch

the absorption section the air was charged counter currently with ammonia solution from the top and the $CO₂$ was absorbed to form ammonium carbamate [10]. The off air from absorption section was water washed in the wash section to remove any entrained liquid. The scrubbed gas recovered as overhead was sent to the knock-out drum to recover any entrained ammonia solution from the absorption column. The rich-amine solution from the bottom of the absorber was passed to energy recovery system and a solution heat exchanger where it was pre-
heated to about 150° (regeneration heated to about (regeneration temperature). The spent ammonia solution exchange heat with incoming regenerated ammonia solution from bottom of the regenerator [11]. Pre-heated spent ammonia solution was separated to remove any gas associated with the spent ammonia solution. Regeneration of ammonia solution was carried out in the regenerator by the application of heat supplied by steam generated in the reboiler at the base of the regenerator. The top product of regenerator contains mainly $CO₂$ and steam which was cooled in the cooler to condense them. The steam was separated and returned to the reboiler [12].

The bottom product of regenerator containing regenerated ammonia solution was passed through solution heat exchanger where it exchanges heat with spent ammonia solution from the absorber. It was further cooled to bring its temperature to about 40° C (absorption temperature).

3.1 Material Balance Results

3.1.1 Material balance summary tables

3.1.1.1 Absorber

Fig. 2. Material balance diagram for absorber

Table 1. Absorber input streams

Comp	Mol.	F_{3}		F_8			
	Wt	Mole kmol/hr		Mass kg/hr Mole kmol/ Mass hr	kg/hr	Mole kmol/ hr	Mass kg/hr
CO ₂	44	0.0000118	0.0000364	0.0000118	0.0005192	\overline{a}	
O ₂	32	0.000526	0.000133	$\overline{}$			
N_2	28	0.000133	0.000526	$\overline{}$			
NH ₃	17			0.00118	0.02006	۰	
H ₂ O	18	\blacksquare		0.08496	0.08496		0.001015
H ₂ CO ₃	61						
Carbamate	62						
Total			0.0006954		0.01055		0.001015

Table 2. Absorber output streams

3.1.1.2 Knock-Out Drum 1

Fig. 3. Material balance diagram for knock out drum 1

Table 3. Knock-out drum 1 calculation details

3.1.1.3 Flash Drum

3.1.1.4 Stripper

Fig. 5. Material balance diagram for stripper

3.1.1.5 Knock-Out Drum 2

Fig. 6. Material balance diagram for knock out drum 2

3.2 Energy Balance Results

The conservation of energy differs from that of mass in that energy is generated (or consumed) in a chemical process. Material can change form; new molecular species was formed by chemical reactions where the total mass flow into a process unit must be equal to the flow out at the steady state [13]. The same is not true of energy. The total enthalpy of the outlet streams will not equal that of the inlet streams if energy is generated or consumed in the processed, such as that due to heat of reaction.

3.2.1 Energy balance summary tables

3.2.1.1 Absorber

Fig. 7. Energy balance diagram for absorber

```
Where Qp = heat of the process, in this case Qp 
= 0 (Adiabatic process)
```
Qr = Heat of the reaction = Σ - ΔHr⁰) Total heat input = $H_3 + H_3^1 + H_8$ Total heat output = $H_5 + H_4 + H_4$ ¹

$$
Enthalpy input, H_3 = \int_{T_{ref}}^{T_3} \epsilon_n C_p dT
$$

3.2.1.2 Stripper

Fig. 8. Energy balance diagram for stripper

Table 7. Absorber energy balance summary

Energy	Input (KJ/hr)	Output (KJ/hr)
H_3	0.1704	
${\sf H}_4$		0.3329
		0.1705
H_{8}	3.9952	
H_5		102.4708
Q,	98.8085	
Total	102.9741	102.9741

Table 8. Stripper energy balance summary

3.2.1.4 Solution heat exchanger

Fig. 10. Energy balance diagram for solution heat exchanger

Balance

 H_{10} + H_{14} = H_{12} + H_{13}

ASSUMPTIONS

- (1) The reboiler only generate steam for desorption process.
- (2) Regenerated amine solution does not pass through the reboiler so that $H_{17} = H_{14}$
- (3) That the energy recovery system is dominant.

Table 10. Solution heat exchanger energy balance summary

3.2.1.5 Solution Cooler 4

Fig. 11. Energy balance diagram for solution cooler 4

Hence $Q_4 = (H_{12} = H_{11}) - H_9$

Table 11. Solution cooler 4 energy balance summary

3.2.1.6 Evaporative Gas Cooler 2

$$
H_{1^1} \int_{30}^{80} \in_n C_p dT
$$

Table 12. Gas cooler energy balance summary

3.3 Process Equipment Specifications

3.3.1 Absorber Specifications [14]

- Absorption of $CO₂$ in 20% w/w NH₃ solution

$$
- G\partial y = KGa (P_A - P_{AC}) \partial h
$$

 P_{Ae} = partial pressure that would be in equilibrium with the bulk of liquid, because the liquid is a concentrated solution of $NH₃$, the partial pressure of $CO₂$, P_{Ae} in equilibrium with it is virtually zero. Also $PA = yp$ where P is the total pressure.

$$
- G\partial y = KGayp\partial h
$$

Rearranging and integrating

$$
\frac{1}{K_{Ga}} = \frac{1}{K_{Ga}} + \frac{H}{K_{La}}
$$

Table 13. Results summary of absorber specifications

The design of wet scrubbers or any air pollution control device depends on the industrial process conditions and the nature of the air pollutants involved. Inlet gas characteristics and dust properties are of primary importance. Scrubber was designed to collect particulate matter and/or gaseous pollutants [3]. Wet scrubbers remove dust particles by capturing them in liquid droplets. Wet scrubbers remove pollutant gases by dissolving or absorbing them into the liquid [15]. Droplets that are in the scrubber inlet gas were separated from the outlet gas stream by means of another device referred to as a mist eliminator or entrainment separator.

3.3.2 Evaporative Gas Cooler 2 specifications

Area of cooler A = $_ \oslash$ UΔζm

The evaporative cooler (also swamp cooler, desert cooler and wet air cooler) is a device that was designed to cool air through the evaporation of water. Evaporative cooling differs from typical air conditioning systems which use vapourcompression or absorption refrigeration cycles. Evaporative cooling works by employing water's large enthalpy of vaporization [16]. The temperature of dry air can be dropped significantly through the phase transition of liquid water to water vapour, which requires much less energy than refrigeration.

3.3.3 Solution Cooler 2 Specifications

Basic design equation [17]

$$
\varphi = \text{UAATm}
$$

Shell – Side Heat Transfer Coefficient

$$
\frac{h_s d_e}{k_f} = Jh \times Re \times pr \times 0.33 \left(\frac{\mu}{\mu w}\right)^{-0.14}
$$

hs = shell $-$ side heat transfer coefficient, de = equivalent diameter

 Jh = heat transfer correction factor, Re = Reynolds number, Pr = prandth number

 μ = viscosity of fluid at mean temp, μ w = viscosity of fluid at wall temp.

 (μ/μ) ^{0.14} = viscosity correction factor.

Overall Heat Coefficient

Kw for mild steel = 45 w/m⁰C (Sinnott and Towler)

$$
\frac{1}{U_0} = \frac{1}{ho} + \frac{1}{hod} + \frac{do \ln \frac{do}{di}}{2kw} + \frac{do}{di} \times \frac{1}{h_i} \times \frac{do}{di} \times \frac{1}{hid}
$$

Shell – Side Pressure Drop

$$
\Delta P_s = 8jf \; x \; \left(\frac{D_s}{de}\right)x \frac{L}{IB} \left(\frac{\rho u s^2}{2}\right) \frac{\mu^{0.14}}{\frac{\mu}{w}}
$$

Neglecting viscosity correction factor

From Fig. 12 (Coulson and Richardson)

$$
J f = 5.5 \times 10^{-2}
$$

3.3.4 Cooler 5 (Condenser 5) Specifications

A = surface area of exchange.

$$
=\underline{\varphi}\over U\Delta T_m
$$

Table 15. Results summary of solution cooler 2 specifications

Tube Bundle Diameter (Db)

$$
D_b = d_o \left(\frac{N_t}{K_i}\right)^{-\frac{1}{ni}}
$$

From Table 15 (Coulson and Richardson), for triangular pitch.

 K_1 = 0.175, ni = 2.285

Tube Inside Coefficient.

Cross – sectional area of one tube

$$
=\frac{\pi(du^2)}{4}
$$

Shell – Side Heat Transfer Coefficient

$$
h_s = \frac{Kf}{de} x \ln x \, Re \, x \, pr^{0.33} \, x \, (\frac{\mu}{\mu w}) \, ^{-0.14}
$$

where hs = shell $-$ side heat coefficient, $Kf =$ thermal conductivity of fluid

 Jh = heat transfer coefficient, R = Reynolds number, Pr = prandth

 $\int \mu$ $\int^{0.14}$ = viscosity correction factor. μw

Table 16. Results summary of cooler 5 (Condenser 5) specifications

Equipment name	Cooler ₅
Type	Shell & tube H.E
Sub-type	Split-ring floating head
Head load	2.62889kJ/min
Shell type	Two shell pass
Number of tubes	1
Number of tubes pass	4
Number of tubes per	1
pass	
Tube bundle diameter	5.88mm
Surface area of cooler	0.00245m ²
Shell inside diameter	63.88mm
Baffle spacing	494mm
Baffle cut	25%
Baffle height	0.75 Ds = 47.91mm
Baffle type	Segmented
Tube pitch	31.25mm
Tube pattern	Triangular pattern
No of rods	12
Diameter of rods	9.5 _{mm}
Shell-side design press	5.984atm
Tube-side design press	2.75atm
Shell-side design temp.	$310^0\mathrm{C}$
Tube-side design temp.	160° C
Shell material	Stainless steel
Overall heat coefficient	3.5142w/m ²⁰ C
Shell wall thickness	5mm
Shell cover thickness	5mm
Tube-side pressure drop 0.0000079kpa	
Shell-side pressure drop 791.388kpa.	

3.3.5 Knock-Out Drum 1 Specification

Vapour–liquid separator was designed to separate a vapour–liquid mixture. The vapour– liquid separator is also referred to as a flash drum, knock-out drum, knock-out pot, compressor suction drum or compressor inlet drum. The vapour travels gas outlet at a design velocity which minimizes the entrainment of any liquid droplets in the vapour as it exits the vessel [18].

Table 17. Results summary of knock out drum 1 specification

3.3.6 Knock-Out Drum 2 Specifications

Table 18. Results summary of knock out drum 2 specifications

3.3.7 Solution heat exchanger specifications

A heat exchanger was designed for efficient heat transfer from one medium to another. The media is separated by a solid wall, so that they never mix, or they may be in direct contact. They are

widely used in space heating, refrigeration, air conditioning, power plants, chemical plants, petrochemical plants, petroleum refineries, natural gas processing, and sewage treatment [19].

Nwokedi and Igbokwe; JERR, 5(1): 1-14, 2019; Article no.JERR.47628

3.3.8 Flash drum specifications

Table 20. Results summary of flash drum specifications

3.3.9 Optimal values of CO2 and validation of the experimental data

Table 21. Optimum conditions for CO₂ capture

The optimum conditions obtained are concentration of solvent 6.15 mol/dm³, contact time 59.21 seconds, volume of solvent 107.84 with 5.021 percent of $CO₂$ absorbed as shown in Table 21. Table 21 also depicts the validation of the optimal results of the sequestration process by performing the experiment with predicted optimum conditions, from the table it can be observed that the percentage error between the actual and predicted was 2 percent, this showed that the model was adequate in predicting the response for the absorption of $CO₂$.

4. CONCLUSION

The design of a plant to recover $CO₂$ from spent air from aerobic fermentation was successfully carried out. Material and energy balances were carried out on each equipment and then over the entire process. These balances were used in the chemical and mechanical engineering design of the following equipment: absorber, knock out drum, flash drum, gas cooler, reboiler and stripping column. The data obtained in this design were used to fabricate an absorption column by the research for $CO₂$ and CO capture. The empirical relationship between amount of CO2, CO captured and the independent variables were obtained with the aid of a statistical package. The statistical package was useful in analyzing and optimizing the amount of $CO₂$ and CO captured. The Analysis of Variance (ANOVA) result for the model terms were obtained and were applied for estimating the significance of the model. The experimental data were also analyzed to ascertain the correlation between the experimental and predicted gases captured, normal probability and residual plot as well as actual and predicted plots while the 3D response surface plots were generated to estimate the effect of the combinations of the independent variables on the amount of the captured gases.

COMPETING INTERESTS

Authors have declared that no competing interests exist.

REFERENCES

1. Aroonwilas A, Veawab A. Integration of $CO₂$ capture unit using single- and blendedamines into supercritical coal-fired power plants: Implications for emission and energymanagement. International Journal of Greenhouse Gas Control. 2007;1:143- 150.

- 2. Zeng Q, et al. Mass transfer coefficients for $CO₂$ absorption into aqueous ammonia solution using a packed column. Ind. Eng. Chem. Research. 2011;50:10168-10175.
- 3. Coulson JM, Richardson JF. Chemical Engineering, Pergamon, N.Y. 1968;2.
- 4. Sadik, Kakac, Hongtan. Heat exchanges: Selection, rating and thermal design. (2nd ed.) CRC Press; 2002.
- 5. Watson RT. Climate change. Synthesis Report, Cambridge University Press, UK; 2001.
Saunders
- 6. Saunders EAD. Heat exchangers: Selection design and construction. Longman; 1988.
- 7. Wiche IA, Kennedy RJ. Energy and fuels. 2002;14:56-66.
- 8. Lackuer D, Klaus S. Carbonate chemistry for sequestering fossil carbon. Annual Review of Energy and the Environment. 2002;27(1):193-232.
- 9. Liao CH, Liu WT, Tan CS, Removal of $CO₂$ by absorption in a rotating packed bed. Ind. Eng. Chem. Res. 2003;42:2381-2386.
- 10. Nwokedi IC, Igbokwe PK. Absorption kinetics and mass transfer coefficient for carbon (IV) oxide sequestration by ammonia solution. The Pharmaceutical and Chemical Journal. 2018;5(6):45-53. Available:http://tpcj.org/download/vol-5-iss-6-2018/TPCJ2018-05-06-45-53.pdf
- 11. Qing F, et al. Kinetics of $CO₂$ absorption in aqueous ammonia solution. International Journal of Greenhouse Gas Control. 2011;4(5):729-738.
- 12. Liao CH, Li MH. Kinetics of absorption of carbon (IV) oxide into aqueous solutions of monoethanolamine + Nmethyloliethanolamin. Chem. Eng. Sci. 2002;57:4569-4582.
- 13. Aneke LE. Principles of chemical engineering process design. De-Adroil. Innovation Enugu; 2009.
- 14. Baum JA, Woehlck HJ. Interaction of
inhalational anaesthetics with CO₂ inhalational anaesthetics with $CO₂$
absorbents. Best Prac. Res., Clin. Best Prac. Anaesthesiol. 2003;17:63-76.
- 15. Kohl A, Nielsen B. Gas Purification. Fifth edition, Gulf Publishing Company, Houston, Texas; 1997.
- 16. Demontigny D, Tontiwachwuthikal P, Chakins A. Comparing the absorption performance of packed columns and membrane contactors. Ind. Eng. Chem. Res. 2005;44:5726-5732.
- 17. Renato B, Giuseppe S, Marco M. Process design and energy requirements for the

capture of carbon (iv) oxide from air. Chemical Engineering and Processing. 2006;45:1047-1058.

18. Mani F, Peruzzini M. $CO₂$ absorption by aqueous $NH₃$ solutions: Speciation of ammonium carbamate, bicarbonate and

carbonate by a CNMR study. Green Chem. 2006;8:995-1000.

19. Perry RH, et al. Chemical engineers. Handbook, 7th Edition, McGraw- Hill, News York. 1997; 244.

© 2019 Nwokedi and Igbokwe; This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any *medium, provided the original work is properly cited.*

> *Peer-review history: The peer review history for this paper can be accessed here: http://www.sdiarticle3.com/review-history/47628*