Journal of Engineering Research and Reports

5(1): 1-14, 2019; Article no.JERR.47628



Ikenna C. Nwokedi^{1*} and Philomena K. Igbokwe¹

¹Department of Chemical Engineering, Nnamdi Azikiwe University, P.M.B. 5025, Awka, Anambra State, Nigeria.

Authors' contributions

This work was carried out in collaboration between both authors. Both authors read and approved the final manuscript.

Article Information

DOI: 10.9734/JERR/2019/v5i116915 <u>Editor(s):</u> (1) Dr. Ravi Kant, Professor, Department of Physics, Bhai Gurdas Degree College, Maharaja Ranjit Singh Sate Technical University, Bathinda (Punjab), India. (2) Dr. Leandro A. Pasa, Professor, Campus Medianeira da Universidade Tecnologica Federal do Parana, Brazil. <u>Reviewers:</u> (1) Antipas T. S. Massawe, University of Dar Es Salaam, Tanzania. (2) Julian Cruz-Olivares, Autonomous University of State of Mexico, Mexico. Complete Peer review History: <u>http://www.sdiarticle3.com/review-history/47628</u>

Original Research Article

Received 23 February 2019 Accepted 1 May 2019 Published 10 May 2019

ABSTRACT

The design of a prototype chemical process absorption column was carried out to facilitate the sequestration of CO_2 from flue gas emanating from an exhaust point of a power generating set. Factors such as ambient temperature and atmospheric pressure where factored into consideration before the fabrication of the absorption column. The rate of the absorbing liquid is 0.1056kg/min and contains 5% mole/mole carbon (iv) oxide. Also the energy and material balance of the entire sequestration process was verified as well as the equipment design for the process was carried out.

Keywords: Material balance; energy balance; CO₂ sequestration; ammonia; equipment design; absorption column; knockout drum; absorber; evaporative gas cooler; solution cooler; solution heat exchanger; flash drum; stripper; and reboiler.

1. INTRODUCTION

The scientific community agrees that anthropogenic CO_2 emission, mainly generating

by fossil-fuel power plants, is among the main contributors to global warming [1]. Although the transition of the existing infrastructure from carbon-based sources to cleaner alternatives would be ideal in this regard, such a change requires considerable modifications to the current energy framework, and many of the proposed technologies are not yet sufficiently developed to facilitate large-scale industrial implementation [2]. Thus, carbon capture and sequestration (CCS) technology that efficiently capture CO2 from existing emission sources will play a vital role until more significant modifications to the energy infrastructure can be realized. Plant design is a technical term that embraces all engineering aspects involved in the development of either a new, modified, or expanded industrial plant [3]. It involves the economic evaluation of new processes, design of industrial pieces of equipment for a new plant or the development a plant layout for the co-ordination of the overall operation [4]. Present strategies for the mitigation of the atmospheric carbon (IV) oxide build-up are relied on the energy use efficiency, and the reduction of fossil fuels consumption for increased use of renewable energy sources or nuclear power plants. Thus, the inevitable way of keeping the global CO₂ load in the atmosphere and hydrosphere below unbearable levels is the complementing of emission reduction [5] efforts by the capture CO_2 before it emits from point sources, or from its carrying air stream emitting from the point of sources, and to store it permanently outside the atmosphere.

2. MATERIALS AND METHODS

2.1 Methodology

Due to the nature of the equipment made of glassware and in order to control the experiment, standard conditions of ambient temperature and atmospheric pressure were adopted for the process, and also for the flow rate of the solution into the absorption column. Three independent variables were used: the concentration of solvent ranging from 2-10 mol/dm³, contact time of 20-100 seconds and volume of solvent from 40-200 ml.

For the carbon sequestration to be achieved, 10 mol/dm³ concentration of aqueous ammonia was prepared and poured into a flask containing ammonia solution which supplied the solution to the absorber, the aqueous ammonia was evenly distributed across the inner surface of the column while in contact with the plates. The petrol generating set was turned on while the gas analyzer detected the components and quantity of gases before it was charged into the heat exchanger. The heat exchanger helped to attain

the desired temperature of 40° C before the flue gas was charged into the absorption column from the entry point near the base of the absorption column. The flue gas in the column contacted with the aqueous ammonia in a counter current form for a period of 60 seconds after which the tap at the exit point close to the top of the absorption column was opened and gas analyzer used to determine the amount of CO₂ leaving the column.

2.2 Chemical Absorption-Amine Absorption/Stripping Technology

A typical chemical absorption process consists of an absorber and a stripper in which absorbent is thermally regenerated [6]. Chemical absorption process was the adopted method for this work with ammonia used as the absorbent. Ammonia was chosen as the most suitable solvent and absorbent for this work because of its large absorption capacity, small heat of reaction, fast kinetics, high CO₂ selectivity, it is cheap and does not degrade and ammonia is not affected by O_2 and SO_2 . In a chemical absorption process, the flue gas containing CO₂ enters a packed bed absorber from the bottom and contacts counter-currently with a CO₂-lean after absorption, the CO₂-rich absorbent. absorbent flows into a stripper for thermal regeneration. In the aftermath regeneration, the CO₂-lean absorbent is pumped back to the absorber for cyclic use. The pure CO₂ released from the stripper is compressed for subsequent transportation and storage [7]. The advantage of a chemical absorption technology is that it is the most matured technology for CO₂ capture and it has been commercialized for many decades. Another advantage of this technology is that it is suitable for retrofitting of the existing power plants.

2.3 Materials

The materials made up of glass wares were fabricated at scientific research and development institute; they were put together alongside other components purchased from a science apparatus market to make a complete absorption column. The equipment has an absorption column, flask containing the ammonia solution, reservoir to house the content of the mixture in the aftermath of the reaction, openings for flue gas entrant and exit point after the reaction, nonheat sensitive pipe connected to the entry point of the absorption column and the exhaust pipe of the gasoline generating set.

Equation for the Reaction: [8,9]

i) CO₂ Absorption

$$2CO_2(g) + 2NH_3(aq) + H_2O \rightarrow NH_2COONH^{+}_4(aq) + H_2CO_3$$

ii) Ammonia Regeneration $NH_2COONH_4^{+}(aq) + H_2O \rightarrow H_2CO_3 + 2NH_3$

About 98% recovery of CO_2 occurs and the recovery liquid is a 20% w/w NH₃

Assumptions:

Feed Stream

 The rate of the absorbing liquid is 0.1056kg/min and contains 5% mole/mole carbon (iv) oxide.

- The spent air effluent analysis, 0.000347ft³/s at 30^oC, 1atm with % composition on dry basis of carbon (IV) oxide (3.5%), nitrogen (79%) and oxygen (17.5%). The exit air is saturated with water vapour at the absorbing liquid inlet temperature of 40^oC.
- 3) Recovery of 85% CO₂.
- 4) Reaction equation

Process Details:

Basis: 1 minute operation

Stream 2: Spent air effluent (dry basis) $CO_2 = 3.5\%$ Nitrogen = 79% Oxygen = 17.5%

Total volume of spent air effluent = 0.000347Ft³/s

3. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

The capturing of CO_2 from spent air effluent was achieved through the absorption of CO_2 with ammonia solution to form ammonia carbamate which was later regenerated to recover the ammonia and CO_2 . The raw gas (air effluent from a generating set) was cooled to about $40^{\circ}C$ (reaction temp.) and separated to remove any condensed water from the raw gas. Dry air effluent was charged to the absorption column. In





the absorption section the air was charged counter currently with ammonia solution from the top and the CO₂ was absorbed to form ammonium carbamate [10]. The off air from absorption section was water washed in the wash section to remove any entrained liquid. The scrubbed gas recovered as overhead was sent to the knock-out drum to recover any entrained ammonia solution from the absorption column. The rich-amine solution from the bottom of the absorber was passed to energy recovery system and a solution heat exchanger where it was preabout 150[°]C (regeneration heated to The spent ammonia solution temperature). exchange heat with incoming regenerated ammonia solution from bottom of the regenerator [11]. Pre-heated spent ammonia solution was separated to remove any gas associated with the spent ammonia solution. Regeneration of ammonia solution was carried out in the regenerator by the application of heat supplied by steam generated in the reboiler at the base of the regenerator. The top product of regenerator contains mainly CO₂ and steam which was cooled in the cooler to condense them. The steam was separated and returned to the reboiler [12].

The bottom product of regenerator containing regenerated ammonia solution was passed through solution heat exchanger where it exchanges heat with spent ammonia solution from the absorber. It was further cooled to bring its temperature to about 40° C (absorption temperature).

3.1 Material Balance Results

3.1.1 Material balance summary tables

3.1.1.1 Absorber



Fig. 2. Material balance diagram for absorber

Comp	Mol.		F ₃	F	8	F	1 3
	Wt	Mole kmol/ hr	Mass kg/hr	Mole kmol/ hr	Mass kg/hr	Mole kmol/ hr	Mass kg/hr
CO ₂	44	0.0000118	0.0000364	0.0000118	0.0005192	-	-
O ₂	32	0.000526	0.000133	-	-	-	-
N ₂	28	0.000133	0.000526	-	-	-	-
NH_3	17	-	-	0.00118	0.02006	-	-
H ₂ O	18	-	-	0.08496	0.08496	-	0.001015
H_2CO_3	61	-	-	-	-	-	-
Carbamate	62	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total			0.0006954		0.01055		0.001015

Table 2. Absorber output streams

Comp	Mol.		F_4^1		F ₄	F₅	
_	Wt	Mole kmol/ hr	Mass kg/hr	Mole kmol/ hr	Mass kg/hr	Mole kmol/ hr	Mass kg/hr
CO ₂	44	-	-	0.02006	0.000484	0.0000118	0.0005192
O ₂	32	-	-	0.08406	0.000526	-	-
N ₂	28	-	-	0.000043	0.000133	-	-
NH_3	17	-	-	-	0.0005713	0.0000118	0.02006
H₂O	18	-	0.001015	-	0.000286	0.000000701	0.08406
H ₂ CO ₃	61	-	-	-	-	0.000000701	0.000043
Carbamate	62	-	-	-	-	0.000000701	0.000053
Total			0.001015		0.00203		0.1047

3.1.1.2 Knock-Out Drum 1





Comp		Input (F	4)	C	utput (F ₆)	Outp	out (F ₇)
	Mol. /wt	Mole Kmol/h	Mass kg/hr	Mole kmol/hr	Mass Kg/hr	Mole Kmol/hr	Mass Kg/hr
CO ₂	44	0.000484	0.000484	-	-	0.000484	0.0005192
O ₂	32	0.000526	0.000133	-	-	0.000526	0.000133
N_2	28	0.000133	0.000133	-	-	0.000133	0.000133
NH_3	17	-	-	-	0.0029	-	-
H ₂ O	18	-	-	-	0.00116	-	-
Total			0.000203		0.0000000203		0.0011782

Table 3.	Knock-out	drum 1	calculation	details
----------	-----------	--------	-------------	---------

3.1.1.3 Flash Drum





Table 4	Flash	drum	input	and	output	streams
	1 10311	urum	mpuι	anu	output	Sucams

	Input stream		Output stream				
Comp	F ₁₃		F ₁₅		F ₁₆		
	Mole kmol/hr	Mass kg/hr	Mole kmol/hr	Mass kg/hr	Mole kmol/hr	Mass kg/hr	
CO ₂	-	0.0005192	-	0.0005192	-	-	
NH_3	-	0.02006	-	-	0.86	0.02006	
H ₂ O	0.000000701	0.08406	-	-	0.000000701	0.08406	
H_2CO_3	0.00118	0.000043	-	-	0.00118	0.000043	
Carbamate	0.00118	0.000053	-	-	0.00118	0.000053	
Total		0.1047		0.0005192		0.104216	

3.1.1.4 Stripper



Fig. 5. Material balance diagram for stripper

.

Fable 5. S	stripper	input and	output	streams

Input streams						Outp	ut stream	S
	F ₁	6		F ₁₈		F ₁₇		F ₁₉
Comp	Mole kmol/ hr	Mass kg/hr	Mole kmol/ hr	Mass kg/hr	Mole kmol/ hr	Mass kg/hr	Mole kmol/hr	Mass kg/hr
NH_3	-	0.02006	-	-	-	0.02006	-	-
H ₂ O	0.00000701	0.08406	-	0.00004326	-	0.1690	-	0.00004326
H_2CO_3	0.00118	0.000043	-	-	-	-	-	-
Carbamate	0.00118	0.000053	-	-	-	-	-	-
CO ₂	-	-	-	-	-	0.0005192	-	0.00055004
Total		0.104216		0.00004326		0.1896		0.0005933

3.1.1.5 Knock-Out Drum 2



Fig. 6. Material balance diagram for knock out drum 2

	Table 6.	Knock-out	drum 2	input a	nd output	streams
--	----------	-----------	--------	---------	-----------	---------

Input streams					Outpu	t streams	
	F ₂₁			F ₂₂		F ₂₃	
Comp	Mole/ wt	Mole kg/hr	Mass kg/hr	Mole kmol/hr	Mass kg/hr	Mole kmol/hr	Mass kg/hr
CO ₂	44	-	0.0005501	-	0.0005501	-	-
H ₂ O	18	-	0.00004326	-	-	-	0.00004326
Total			0.0005933		0.0005501		0.00004326

3.2 Energy Balance Results

The conservation of energy differs from that of mass in that energy is generated (or consumed) in a chemical process. Material can change form; new molecular species was formed by chemical reactions where the total mass flow into a process unit must be equal to the flow out at the steady state [13]. The same is not true of energy. The total enthalpy of the outlet streams will not equal that of the inlet streams if energy is generated or consumed in the processed, such as that due to heat of reaction.

3.2.1 Energy balance summary tables

3.2.1.1 Absorber



Fig. 7. Energy balance diagram for absorber

Where Qp = heat of the process, in this case Qp = 0 (Adiabatic process)

Qr = Heat of the reaction = $\Sigma - \Delta Hr^0$) Total heat input = $H_3 + H_3^1 + H_8$ Total heat output = $H_5 + H_4 + H_4^1$

Enthalpy input,
$$H_3 = \int_{T_{ref}}^{T_3} \epsilon_n C_p dT$$

3.2.1.2 Stripper



Fig. 8. Energy balance diagram for stripper

Table 7. Absorber energy balance summary

Energy	Input (KJ/hr)	Output (KJ/hr)
H ₃	0.1704	-
H_4	-	0.3329
H_4^1	-	0.1705
H ₈	3.9952	-
H₅	-	102.4708
Q _r	98.8085	-
Total	102.9741	102.9741

Table 8. Stripper energy balance summary

Energy	Input (KJ/hr)	Output (KJ/hr)
H ₁₆	47.4869	-
H ₁₈	0.1326	-
H ₁₇	-	127.77
H ₁₉	-	- 76.5845
Qr		- 98.805
Total	47.6195	- 47.6195







Table 9.	Gas Co	ooler 5	energy	balance
	s	umma	ry	

Energy	Input (KJ/hr)	Output (KJ/hr)
H ₂₀	5.0624	-
H ₂₁	-	2.5312
Q _{VAP}	0.09769	-
Q_5	-	2.62889
TOTAL	5.16009	5.16009

3.2.1.4 Solution heat exchanger



Fig. 10. Energy balance diagram for solution heat exchanger

Balance

 $H_{10} + H_{14} = H_{12} + H_{13}$

ASSUMPTIONS

- (1) The reboiler only generate steam for desorption process.
- (2) Regenerated amine solution does not pass through the reboiler so that $H_{17} = H_{14}$
- (3) That the energy recovery system is dominant.

Table 10. Solution heat exchanger energy balance summary

Energy	Input (KJ/hr)	Output (KJ/hr)
H ₁₀	102.4708	-
H ₁₂	-	182.7006
H ₁₃	-	47.5402
H_{14}	127.77	-
Total	230.2408	230.2408

3.2.1.5 Solution Cooler 4



Fig. 11. Energy balance diagram for solution cooler 4

Hence $Q_4 = (H_{12} = H_{11}) - H_9$

Table 11. Solution cooler 4 energy balance summary

ENERGY	INPUT (KJ/hr)	OUTPUT (KJ/hr)
H₀	-	3.9952
H_{11}	182.7006	-
Q_4	-	178.7054
Total	182.7006	182.7006

3.2.1.6 Evaporative Gas Cooler 2





$$H_{1^1} \int_{30}^{80} \in_n C_p dT$$

Table 12. Gas cooler energy balance summary

Energy	Input (KJ/Hr)	Output (KJ/Hr)
H_1^1	0.8712	-
H_2	-	0.1704
Q_2	-	0.7008
TOTAL	0.8712	0.8712

3.3 Process Equipment Specifications

3.3.1 Absorber Specifications [14]

Absorption of CO₂ in 20% w/w NH₃ solution

 P_{Ae} = partial pressure that would be in equilibrium with the bulk of liquid, because the liquid is a concentrated solution of NH₃, the partial pressure of CO₂, P_{Ae} in equilibrium with it is virtually zero. Also PA = yp where P is the total pressure.

Rearranging and integrating

$$\frac{1}{K_{Ga}} = \frac{1}{K_{Ga}} + \frac{H}{K_{La}}$$

Table 13. Results summary of absorber specifications

Equipment name	Absorber
Туре	Wetted wall column
Packing type	Ceramic intallox
	paddle
Packing size	38mm
Packing factor	170m⁻¹
Column area	$0.0003142m^2$
Column diameter	0.01m
Height of absorption	1.0m
section	
Height of wash section	0.2m
Bottom liquid depth	0.000044m
Top gas disengagement	0.3048m
height	
Packing height	0.235m
Column material	Stainless steel
Design temperature	50 ⁰ C
Design pressure	1.1atm
Column wall thickness	5mm
Column cover thickness	5mm (terrispherical)

The design of wet scrubbers or any air pollution control device depends on the industrial process conditions and the nature of the air pollutants involved. Inlet gas characteristics and dust properties are of primary importance. Scrubber was designed to collect particulate matter and/or gaseous pollutants [3]. Wet scrubbers remove dust particles by capturing them in liquid droplets. Wet scrubbers remove pollutant gases by dissolving or absorbing them into the liquid [15]. Droplets that are in the scrubber inlet gas were separated from the outlet gas stream by means of another device referred to as a mist eliminator or entrainment separator.

3.3.2 Evaporative Gas Cooler 2 specifications

Area of cooler A = $\frac{\cancel{Q}}{U\Delta\zeta m}$

The evaporative cooler (also swamp cooler, desert cooler and wet air cooler) is a device that was designed to cool air through the evaporation of water. Evaporative cooling differs from typical air conditioning systems which use vapour-compression or absorption refrigeration cycles. Evaporative cooling works by employing water's large enthalpy of vaporization [16]. The temperature of dry air can be dropped significantly through the phase transition of liquid water to water vapour, which requires much less energy than refrigeration.

3.3.3 Solution Cooler 2 Specifications

Basic design equation [17]

Shell – Side Heat Transfer Coefficient

$$\frac{h_s d_e}{k_f} = Jh \ x \ Re \ x \ pr \ x \ 0.33 \ (\frac{\mu}{\mu w}) \quad ^{0.14}$$

hs = shell – side heat transfer coefficient, de = equivalent diameter

Jh = heat transfer correction factor, Re = Reynolds number, Pr = prandth number

 μ = viscosity of fluid at mean temp, μ w = viscosity of fluid at wall temp.

 $(\mu/\mu w)^{0.14}$ = viscosity correction factor.

Overall Heat Coefficient

Kw for mild steel = 45w/m⁰C (Sinnott and Towler)

$$\frac{1}{U_0} = \frac{1}{ho} + \frac{1}{hod} + \frac{do \ln \frac{do}{di}}{2kw} + \frac{do}{di} \times \frac{1}{h_i} \times \frac{do}{di} \times \frac{1}{hid}$$

Shell – Side Pressure Drop

$$\Delta P_s = 8jf \ x \ \left(\frac{D_s}{de}\right) x \frac{L}{IB} \left(\frac{\rho u s^2}{2}\right) \frac{\mu^{0.14}}{\frac{\mu}{w}}$$

Neglecting viscosity correction factor

From Fig. 12 (Coulson and Richardson)

$$Jf = 5.5 \times 10^{-2}$$

Table 1	4. Results	summary o	f evaporative
	gas coole	r 2 specifica	tions

Equipment name	Gas Cooler 2
Туре	Horizontal C & R
Sub-type	Split-ring floating
	Head
Shell type	Split-flow
Number of tubes	130
Number of tubes per pass	65
Surface area of exchange	0.003m ²
Heat load	0.7008KJ/min
Tube bundle diameter	37.5mm
Shell inside diameter	48.5mm
Bundle clearance	11mm
Overall heat coefficient	$0.082 \text{w}/m^2$ ⁰ C
Tube-side heat coefficient	11.935 w/m ² ⁰ C
Shell-side heat coefficient	3.1391 w/m ² ⁰ C
Tube-side fouling factor	5000w/m ² ⁰ C
Shell-side fouling factor	5000w/m ²⁰ C
Tube pitch	25mm
Tube arrangement pattern	Triangular
Baffle spacing	9.7mm
Baffle cut	25%
Baffle type	Segmented
Baffle height	76.275mm
No of support place nods	8
Diameter of nods	9.5mm
Tube-side design press	2.2atm
Tube-side design temp.	70 °C
Tube-side pressure drop	0.215kpa
Shell-side design press	1.1atm
Shell-side design temp.	90 °C
Shell-side design pressure	169.77 kpa
Drop	
Tube material	Mild steel
Shell material	Stainless steel.

3.3.4 Cooler 5 (Condenser 5) Specifications

A = surface area of exchange.

Equipment name	Solution cooler
Туре	Horizontal shell & tubes
Sub-type	Split-ring floating head
Shell-type	Split-flow
Surface area of	$0.304m^2$
exchange	
Tube-inside diameter	16mm
Tube-outside diameter	20mm
Heat load	178.7054KJ/min
Tube length	4.88m
Tube-sheet	0.03m
Shell inside diameter	87.55mm
Tube bundle diameter	37.55mm
Bundle clearances	50mm
Number of tubes	1
Number of tube pass	1
Number of tubes per	1
pass	
Baffle spacing	17.51mm
Baffle cut	25 % (segmented type)
Tube pitch	25mm
Tube arrangement	Triangular
pattern	2.2
Overall heat coefficient	362.9896 w/m ²⁰ C
Tube-side pressure	0.000013kpa
drop	
Shell-side pressure	243.17kpa
drop	
Tube-side design	2.7atm
pressure	
Shell-side design	2.2atm
pressure	
lube-side design temp.	100 °C
Snell-side design temp.	212 °C
Shell wall thickness	5mm
I ube material	Mild steel
Shell material	Stainless steel

 Table 15. Results summary of solution cooler

 2 specifications

Tube Bundle Diameter (D_b)

$$D_b = d_o(\frac{N_t}{K_i}) \quad \frac{1}{ni}$$

From Table 15 (Coulson and Richardson), for triangular pitch.

K₁ = 0.175, ni = 2.285

Tube Inside Coefficient.

Cross - sectional area of one tube

$$=\frac{\pi(du^2)}{4}$$

Shell – Side Heat Transfer Coefficient

$$h_s = \frac{Kf}{de} x \ln x \operatorname{Re} x \operatorname{pr}^{0.33} x \left(\frac{\mu}{\mu w}\right)^{-0.14}$$

where hs = shell - side heat coefficient, Kf = thermal conductivity of fluid

Jh = heat transfer coefficient, R = Reynolds number, Pr = prandth

 $\left(\frac{\mu}{\mu W}\right)^{0.14}$ = viscosity correction factor.

Table 16. Results summary of cooler 5 (Condenser 5) specifications

Equipment name	Cooler 5
Туре	Shell & tube H.E
Sub-type	Split-ring floating head
Head load	2.62889kJ/min
Shell type	Two shell pass
Number of tubes	1
Number of tubes pass	4
Number of tubes per	1
pass	
Tube bundle diameter	5.88mm
Surface area of cooler	0.00245m ²
Shell inside diameter	63.88mm
Baffle spacing	494mm
Baffle cut	25%
Baffle height	0.75 Ds = 47.91mm
Baffle type	Segmented
Tube pitch	31.25mm
Tube pattern	Triangular pattern
No of rods	12
Diameter of rods	9.5mm
Shell-side design press	5.984atm
Tube-side design press	2.75atm
Shell-side design temp.	310 [°] C
Tube-side design temp.	160ºC
Shell material	Stainless steel
Overall heat coefficient	3.5142w/m ²⁰ C
Shell wall thickness	5mm
Shell cover thickness	5mm
Tube-side pressure drop	0.0000079kpa
Shell-side pressure drop	791.388kpa.

3.3.5 Knock-Out Drum 1 Specification

Vapour–liquid separator was designed to separate a vapour–liquid mixture. The vapour– liquid separator is also referred to as a flash drum, knock-out drum, knock-out pot, compressor suction drum or compressor inlet drum. The vapour travels gas outlet at a design velocity which minimizes the entrainment of any liquid droplets in the vapour as it exits the vessel [18].

Equipment name	Knock-Out Drum I
Туре	Vertical vessel
Drum diameter	0.002m
Drum length	0.004m
Mist eliminator type	Knitted wire-mesh
Mist eliminator thickness	0.152m
Clearance b/w liquid surface and centre of nozzle	0.3m
Clearance b/w centre of inlet	0.1524m
Nozzle and mist eliminator	
Clearance b/w mist eliminator and drum top edge	0.31m
Drum material of construction	Stainless steel
Drum wall thickness	7mm
Head and closure type	Ellipsoidal
Head and closure type	7mm
Mist eliminator material	Stainless steel.

Table 17. Results summary of knock out drum 1 specification

3.3.6 Knock-Out Drum 2 Specifications

Table 18. Results summary of knock out drum 2 specifications

Equipment name	Knock-Out Drum 2		
Туре	Vertical cylinder vessel		
Drum diameter	0.002m		
Drum length	1.0m		
Mist eliminator type	Knitted wire-mush		
Mist eliminator thickness	0.152m		
Liquid depth	0.1374m		
Clearance b/w liquid surface and centre of nozzle	0.05m		
Clearance b/w the centre of nozzle and the mist eliminator	0.1m		
Clearance b/w the mist eliminator and drum top	0.31m		
Drum wall thickness	5mm		
Head and closure type	Tom spherical		
Head and closure thickness	5mm		
Mist eliminate material	Stainless		
Drum material	Stainless steel		

3.3.7 Solution heat exchanger specifications

A heat exchanger was designed for efficient heat transfer from one medium to another. The media is separated by a solid wall, so that they never mix, or they may be in direct contact. They are widely used in space heating, refrigeration, air conditioning, power plants, chemical plants, petrochemical plants, petroleum refineries, natural gas processing, and sewage treatment [19].

Equipment name	Solution Heat exchanger
Туре	Horizontal S&T
Sub-type	Split-ring floating head
Head load	- 54.9306 KJ/min
Shell type	Split flow
Number of tubes	1
Number of tubes pass	1
Number of tubes per pass	1
Tube bundle diameter	37.5504mm
Surface area of exchanger	0.019m ²

Nwokedi and Igbokwe; JERR, 5(1): 1-14, 2019; Article no.JERR.47628

Equipment name	Solution Heat exchanger	
Shell inside diameter	87.5504mm	
Baffle spacing	17.6mm	
Baffle cut	25%	
Baffle height	135mm	
Baffle type	Segmented	
Tube pitch	25mm	
Tube pattern	Triangular pattern	
No of rods	8	
Bundle diameter	124mm	
Shell inside diameter	180mm	
Tube outside diameter	20mm	
Tube inside diameter	16mm	
Tube length	4.88mm	
Tube-sheet thickness	0.03m	
Bundle clearance	50mm	
Diameter of rods	9.5mm	
Shell-side design press	1.1atm	
Tube-side design press	1.1atm	
Shell-side design temp.	160 ⁰ C	
Tube-side design temp.	360 ⁰ C	
Shell material	Stainless steel	
Overall heat coefficient	300w/m ² ⁰ C	
Shell wall thickness	5mm	
Tube -side coefficient	261.13w/m ² ⁰ C	
Shell-side coefficient	361.324w/m ²⁰ C	
Shell cover thickness	5mm	

3.3.8 Flash drum specifications

Table 20. Results summary of flash drum specifications

Equipment name	Flash drum		
Туре	Vertical gas		
Drum diameter	Liquid separator		
Drum length	0.002m		
Mist dominator type	Knitted wore mesh		
Mist dominator thickness	0.152m		
Liquid depth	0.30m		
Clearance between liquid surface and centre of nozzle	0.30m		
Clearance between centre wilet nozzle & mist dominator	0.61m		
Clearance between mist dominator and drum top	0.31m		
Drum material of construction	Stainless steel		
Head and closure type	Ellipsoidal		

3.3.9 Optimal values of CO_2 and validation of the experimental data

Conc of solvent (Mol/dm ³)	Contact time (Secs)	Volume of solvent (ml)	Predicted amount of CO ₂ (%)	Experimental amount of CO ₂ (%)	Percentage error (%)
6.15	59.21	107.84	5.021	5.41	2

The optimum conditions obtained are concentration of solvent 6.15 mol/dm³, contact time 59.21 seconds, volume of solvent 107.84 with 5.021 percent of CO₂ absorbed as shown in Table 21. Table 21 also depicts the validation of the optimal results of the sequestration process by performing the experiment with predicted optimum conditions, from the table it can be observed that the percentage error between the actual and predicted was 2 percent, this showed that the model was adequate in predicting the response for the absorption of CO₂.

4. CONCLUSION

The design of a plant to recover CO₂ from spent air from aerobic fermentation was successfully carried out. Material and energy balances were carried out on each equipment and then over the entire process. These balances were used in the chemical and mechanical engineering design of the following equipment: absorber, knock out drum, flash drum, gas cooler, reboiler and stripping column. The data obtained in this design were used to fabricate an absorption column by the research for CO_2 and CO capture. The empirical relationship between amount of CO₂. CO captured and the independent variables were obtained with the aid of a statistical package. The statistical package was useful in analyzing and optimizing the amount of CO₂ and CO captured. The Analysis of Variance (ANOVA) result for the model terms were obtained and were applied for estimating the significance of the model. The experimental data were also analyzed to ascertain the correlation between the experimental and predicted gases captured, normal probability and residual plot as well as actual and predicted plots while the 3D response surface plots were generated to estimate the effect of the combinations of the independent variables on the amount of the captured gases.

COMPETING INTERESTS

Authors have declared that no competing interests exist.

REFERENCES

 Aroonwilas A, Veawab A. Integration of CO₂ capture unit using single- and blendedamines into supercritical coal-fired power plants: Implications for emission and energymanagement. International Journal of Greenhouse Gas Control. 2007;1:143-150.

- Zeng Q, et al. Mass transfer coefficients for CO₂ absorption into aqueous ammonia solution using a packed column. Ind. Eng. Chem. Research. 2011;50:10168-10175.
- 3. Coulson JM, Richardson JF. Chemical Engineering, Pergamon, N.Y. 1968;2.
- Sadik, Kakac, Hongtan. Heat exchanges: Selection, rating and thermal design. (2nd ed.) CRC Press; 2002.
- 5. Watson RT. Climate change. Synthesis Report, Cambridge University Press, UK; 2001.
- 6. Saunders EAD. Heat exchangers: Selection design and construction. Longman; 1988.
- 7. Wiche IA, Kennedy RJ. Energy and fuels. 2002;14:56-66.
- Lackuer D, Klaus S. Carbonate chemistry for sequestering fossil carbon. Annual Review of Energy and the Environment. 2002;27(1):193-232.
- Liao CH, Liu WT, Tan CS. Removal of CO₂ by absorption in a rotating packed bed. Ind. Eng. Chem. Res. 2003;42:2381-2386.
- Nwokedi IC, Igbokwe PK. Absorption kinetics and mass transfer coefficient for carbon (IV) oxide sequestration by ammonia solution. The Pharmaceutical and Chemical Journal. 2018;5(6):45-53. Available:http://tpcj.org/download/vol-5-iss-6-2018/TPCJ2018-05-06-45-53.pdf
- Qing F, et al. Kinetics of CO₂ absorption in aqueous ammonia solution. International Journal of Greenhouse Gas Control. 2011;4(5):729-738.
- Liao CH, Li MH. Kinetics of absorption of carbon (IV) oxide into aqueous solutions of monoethanolamine + Nmethyloliethanolamin. Chem. Eng. Sci. 2002;57:4569-4582.
- Aneke LE. Principles of chemical engineering process design. De-Adroil. Innovation Enugu; 2009.
- 14. Baum JA, Woehlck HJ. Interaction of inhalational anaesthetics with CO₂ absorbents. Best Prac. Res., Clin. Anaesthesiol. 2003;17:63-76.
- 15. Kohl A, Nielsen B. Gas Purification. Fifth edition, Gulf Publishing Company, Houston, Texas; 1997.
- Demontigny D, Tontiwachwuthikal P, Chakins A. Comparing the absorption performance of packed columns and membrane contactors. Ind. Eng. Chem. Res. 2005;44:5726-5732.
- 17. Renato B, Giuseppe S, Marco M. Process design and energy requirements for the

capture of carbon (iv) oxide from air. Chemical Engineering and Processing. 2006;45:1047-1058.

18. Mani F, Peruzzini M. CO₂ absorption by aqueous NH₃ solutions: Speciation of ammonium carbamate, bicarbonate and

carbonate by a CNMR study. Green Chem. 2006;8:995-1000.

 Perry RH, et al. Chemical engineers. Handbook, 7th Edition, McGraw- Hill, News York. 1997; 244.

© 2019 Nwokedi and Igbokwe; This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

> Peer-review history: The peer review history for this paper can be accessed here: http://www.sdiarticle3.com/review-history/47628