

Journal of Advances in Medicine and Medical Research

Volume 36, Issue 9, Page 45-55, 2024; Article no.JAMMR.121357 ISSN: 2456-8899, NLM ID: 101711724 (Past name: British Journal of Medicine and Medical Research, Past ISSN: 2231-0614, NLM ID: 101570965)

Systemic Biomarkers in Predicting Clinical Outcomes among Patients with Non-ST Segment Elevation Myocardial Infarction: A Systematic Review

Jovita Echere ^{a*}, George Sayegh ^b, Felix Asaju ^c,

Eke Nwanne Okafor ^d, Oluwaseun Abayomi Oguntuase ^e,

Armelle Jordan Leukeu ^f

Elijah Oluwasegun Ayoigbala Adetunji ^g, Omar Badr ^h, Stephanie M. Brown ⁱ, Shaheen Sultana ^j, Regina Azipu ^k, Onyinye Ezewudo ^I, Marian Obi ^m, Frederick Ferguson ⁿ and Shwetha Gopal ^o

^a The University of Texas Health Science Center at Houston School of Public Health, USA.
^b Ross University School of Medicine, USA.
^c Delta State University College of Health Sciences, Nigeria.
^d Sumy State University Medical Institute, Ukraine.
^e Caribbean Medical University, Curaçao.
^f Xavier University School of Medicine, Aruba.
^g Kharkiv National Medical University, Ukraine.
^h Alexandria University Faculty of Medicine, Egypt.
ⁱ Queens University College of Medicine, Barbados.
^j Siddhartha Medical College (SMC), India.
^k University of Calabar College of Medical Sciences, Nigeria.
ⁱ College of Medicine, University of Nigeria, Nigeria.
^m Madonna University College of Medicine, Nigeria.
ⁿ Summa Health, USA.

Authors' contributions

This work was carried out in collaboration among all authors. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

*Corresponding author: E-mail: jovitalphons@yahoo.com;

Cite as: Echere, Jovita, George Sayegh, Felix Asaju, Eke Nwanne Okafor, Oluwaseun Abayomi Oguntuase, Armelle Jordan Leukeu, Elijah Oluwasegun Ayoigbala Adetunji, Omar Badr, Stephanie M. Brown, Shaheen Sultana, Regina Azipu, Onyinye Ezewudo, Marian Obi, Frederick Ferguson, and Shwetha Gopal. 2024. "Systemic Biomarkers in Predicting Clinical Outcomes Among Patients With Non-ST Segment Elevation Myocardial Infarction: A Systematic Review". Journal of Advances in Medicine and Medical Research 36 (9):45-55. https://doi.org/10.9734/jammr/2024/v36i95556.

Echere et al.; J. Adv. Med. Med. Res., vol. 36, no. 9, pp. 45-55, 2024; Article no.JAMMR.121357

Article Information

DOI: https://doi.org/10.9734/jammr/2024/v36i95556

Open Peer Review History:

This journal follows the Advanced Open Peer Review policy. Identity of the Reviewers, Editor(s) and additional Reviewers, peer review comments, different versions of the manuscript, comments of the editors, etc are available here: https://www.sdiarticle5.com/review-history/121357

Systematic Review Article

Received: 19/06/2024 Accepted: 21/08/2024 Published: 28/08/2024

ABSTRACT

Background: The ability to predict clinical outcomes in Non-ST Segment Elevation Myocardial Infarction (NSTEMI) could potentially lead to better risk stratification and treatment management. This systematic review aims to evaluate the predictive value of systemic biomarkers on the clinical outcomes among NSTEMI patients.

Methods: A comprehensive search across PubMed, Web of Science and Scopus was conducted, adhering to PRISMA Statement 2020 guidelines. Original clinical studies involving NSTEMI patients with measured systemic biomarkers were considered. Keyword combinations included the following: 'NSTEMI', 'systemic biomarkers', 'clinical outcomes', 'major adverse cardiac events', and/or 'mortality.

Results: We included 7 studies in total pooling in 863 participants, with biomarkers such as Syntax score, Neutrophil-to-Lymphocyte Ratio (NLR), Platelet-to-Lymphocyte Ratio (PLR), Matrix Metallopeptidase 9 (MMP-9), and Perforin (P), among others. All systemic inflammation (SI) biomarkers were found significantly elevated in patients with high Syntax scores. ROC values for major adverse cardiac events (MACE) ranged from 0.592 to 0.637, and for overall mortality from 0.524 to 0.761. Monocytic MMP-9 mRNA levels were found increased in patients with NSTEMI (0.9 +/- 0.3 relative units (RU)). Positive correlations were found between cardiac troponin I plasma concentrations and the frequency of Perforin-positive cells during the first week after the NSTEMI. **Conclusion:** Systemic biomarkers, including Syntax score, NLR, PLR, MMP-9, and Perforin, show potential predictive value for clinical outcomes in NSTEMI patients. Their use could aid in early risk stratification and management. However, more large-scale, multicenter studies are warranted to validate these findings.

Keywords: Systemic biomarkers; Non-ST segment elevation myocardial infarction; predictive value; risk stratification; syntax score; neutrophil-to-lymphocyte ratio; platelet-to-lymphocyte ratio.

1. INTRODUCTION

"Non-ST segment elevation myocardial infarction (NSTEMI) represents a significant syndromes. portion of acute coronary characterized by partial or temporary blockage of the coronary arteries leading to reduced blood flow to the heart" [1]. While the current standard of care. encompassing risk stratification. antithrombotic therapy, and revascularization, has significantly coronary improved outcomes, prognosis remains variable and can be influenced by numerous factors, such patient characteristics, as

severity of the condition, and timing of intervention [2,3].

"One area of clinical interest that has gained considerable traction in recent years is the use of systemic biomarkers for predicting clinical outcomes in NSTEMI patients" [4,5]. "Biomarkers are measurable substances indicative of a biological or pathological process or a response to a therapeutic intervention" [6,7]. "In the context of NSTEMI, biomarkers can provide valuable insight into various facets of the disease process, including the extent of myocardial damage, the presence and degree of inflammation, plaque instability, and the risk of subsequent adverse cardiovascular events" [8–11].

"Biomarkers such as troponin and C-reactive protein, which are indicative of myocardial injury and inflammation respectively, have been widely well-established used and are in the management of NSTEMI" [12]. However, "these traditional biomarkers may not fully capture the complexity of pathophysiological processes involved in NSTEMI" [13]. Emerging evidence suggests that other systemic biomarkers, such as neutrophil-to-lymphocyte ratio (NLR), plateletto-lymphocyte ratio (PLR), high sensitivity cardiac troponin (hs-cTn), and matrix metalloproteinase-9 (MMP-9), might provide additional predictive value and contribute to a more nuanced understanding of the disease.

Moreover, the discovery of novel biomarkers and the validation of their prognostic value can lead to more personalized care, as the treatment strategy can be tailored to the individual's risk profile. However, the landscape of biomarkers in NSTEMI is rapidly evolving, with numerous studies exploring different markers and their potential role in risk stratification and outcome prediction.

it is crucial to systematically Therefore, review the current evidence on the use of systemic biomarkers in predicting clinical among NSTEMI patients. This outcomes aims systematic review to provide а comprehensive overview of the current state of research, summarizing the main findings and implications for clinical care. It is hoped that this effort will shed light on the potential of guiding these markers in clinical decision-making in the management of NSTEMI patients, while also highlighting gaps in our understanding and providing directions for future research.

2. METHODS

In line with the Preferred Reporting Items for Reviews Systematic and Meta-Analyses (PRISMA) Statement 2020 guidelines [14], we designed the methodology for this systematic review. The primary objective is to critically assess literature, highlighting the predictive utility of systemic biomarkers in forecasting clinical outcomes among NSTEMI patients.

2.1 Research Question

This systematic review aims to answer the following research question: How well do systemic biomarkers predict clinical outcomes in NSTEMI patients?

2.2 PICO Framework

The PICO (Population, Intervention, Comparison, Outcome) model for this review is defined as follows:

- Population: Patients diagnosed with Non-ST Segment Elevation Myocardial Infarction (NSTEMI)
- Intervention: Assessment of systemic biomarkers
- Comparison: NSTEMI patients lacking these biomarkers
- Outcome: Determination of clinical outcomes, including major adverse cardiac events and mortality

2.3 Search Methodology

To include the most relevant and current research findinas. we performed а comprehensive literature search across three databases: PubMed. Scopus. and Web of Science. For the search, we used a combination keywords and MeSH terms of includina 'clinical 'NSTEMI'. 'systemic biomarkers'. outcomes'. 'major adverse cardiac events', and/or 'mortality.' We did not restrict the search based on publication date, language, or geographical location and solely included peerreviewed articles.

2.4 Article Selection

Titles and abstracts of articles retrieved from the initial search were independently assessed by two reviewers for relevance to the study of systemic biomarkers and their correlation with NSTEMI outcomes. Any disagreements between reviewers were resolved through mutual discussion, and if necessary, a third reviewer was consulted. We procured the full texts of relevant articles for a thorough review. Studies that met the following criteria were included: original research articles without time limitations, focused on systemic biomarkers' role in predicting NSTEMI outcomes, and provided adequate data for extraction and subsequent analysis.

2.5 Data Synthesis and Analysis

The research team systematically extracted data from selected studies, which included author names, publication year, study design, biomarkers under study, characteristics of the study population, main results, and implications for care. We then qualitatively synthesized and analyzed the extracted data. This process allowed us to compile and summarize the key findings, offering an overview of the role of systemic biomarkers in predicting NSTEMI outcomes.

3. RESULTS

Of the 327 studies identified from the databases, 13 duplicates were removed. In the screening phase, 314 studies were assessed for titles and abstracts, of which 56 were retrieved for full-text eligibility. Finally, 7 studies were included in this systematic review (Fig. 1).

The characteristics of the included studies (N=7) are listed in Table 1.



Fig. 1. PRISMA flowchart depicting the study selection process

Author,	Title	Study	Population	Biomarkers	Main Findings	Implications for Care
Year		Design	Characteristics	Reported		
Li, [15]	Comparison of Different Systemic Inflammatory Markers in Predicting Clinical Outcomes with Syntax Score in Patients with Non-ST Segment Elevation Myocardial Infarction: A Retrospective Study	Retrospective study	429 patients with NSTEMI	Syntax score, NLR, PLR, hsCAR, SII	All SI biomarkers were significantly higher in patients with high Syntax scores, and were independent risk factors of 6-month MACE, with ROC values for MACE (0.637, 0.592, 0.631, 0.59 respectively) and for over-all mortality (0.53, 0.524, 0.761, 0.553 respectively)	The SI biomarkers could offer better risk stratification than the Syntax score for NSTEMI patients
Nishiguchi, [16]	Local Matrix Metalloproteinase 9 Level Determines Early Clinical Presentation of ST- Segment-Elevation Myocardial Infarction	Cross- sectional study	111 patients with MI (n=94) and stable angina pectoris (n=17)	MMP-9, Myeloperoxidase	Local MMP-9 levels were significantly higher than systemic levels. Poststent local MMP-9 level was significantly elevated in patients with STEMI (109.9 [54.5-197.8] ng/mL) versus non-STEMI: 52.9 [33.0-79.5] ng/mL; stable angina pectoris, 28.3 [14.2-40.0] ng/mL; P < 0.01	High MMP-9 levels may predict STEMI and require additional attention
Joshi, [17]	Systemic Atherosclerotic Inflammation Following Acute Myocardial Infarction: Myocardial Infarction Begets Myocardial Infarction	Observational study	40 patients with MI and 40 with stable angina	Troponin, C-reactive protein, 18F- fluorodeoxyglucose	MI patients had higher aortic 18F- fluorodeoxyglucose uptake (tissue- to-background ratio 2.15 ± 0.3 versus 1.84 ± 0.18 , P < 0.0001) and plasma C-reactive protein concentrations (6.5 [2 to 12.75] versus 2 [0.5 to 4] mg/dL, P = 0.0005). Peak plasma troponin concentrations correlated with aortic 18F-fluorodeoxyglucose uptake (r = 0.43, P = 0.01)	Larger infarcts can accelerate systemic atherosclerosis and require early interventions
Laskarin, [18]	Perforin-mediated cytotoxicity in non-ST elevation myocardial infarction	Observational study	48 patients with NSTEMI	Perforin (P)	Positive correlations were found between cardiac troponin I plasma concentrations and the frequency of P(+) cells, P(+) T cells, P(+) NK cells and their CD56(+dim) and CD56(+bright) subsets during the	Strong and prolonged P-mediated systemic inflammatory reaction may sustain autoaggressive reactions towards

Table 1. Characteristics of included studies

Echere et al.; J. Adv. Med. Med. Res., vol. 36, no. 9, pp. 45-55, 2024; Article no.JAMMR.121357

Author, Year	Title	Study Design	Population Characteristics	Biomarkers Reported	Main Findings	Implications for Care
				•	first week after the NSTEMI	myocardial tissue in NSTEMI
Brunner, [19]	Relation of matrix metalloproteinase-9/tissue inhibitor of metalloproteinase-1 ratio in peripheral circulating CD14+ monocytes to progression of coronary artery disease	Cross- sectional study	66 patients with various stages of coronary artery disease and 16 healthy controls	MMP-9, TIMP-1	Monocytic MMP-9 mRNA levels were increased in those with UAP/NSTEMI (0.9 +/- 0.3 relative units (RU), P < 0.001), STEMI (0.8 +/- 0.4 RU, P < 0.001) and SAP (0.7 +/- 0.3 RU, P < 0.01), compared with controls (0.4 +/- 0.1 RU)	The MMP-9/TIMP-1 ratio could be used as a potential marker for the progression of coronary artery disease
Ferrante, [20]	High levels of systemic myeloperoxidase are associated with coronary plaque erosion in patients with acute coronary syndromes: a clinicopathological study	Observational study	25 patients with acute coronary syndromes	Myeloperoxidase, C- reactive protein	The levels of myeloperoxidase were significantly higher in patients with eroded (n=14) versus ruptured plaques (n=11): median 16.7 (IQR 9.5 to 23.8) versus 7.9 (4.7 to 12.3) ng/mL; P = 0.013	Elevated myeloperoxidase levels may indicate increased risk of eroded plaque
Manginas, [21]	Peripheral levels of matrix metalloproteinase-9, interleukin-6, and C- reactive protein are elevated in patients with acute coronary syndromes: correlations with serum troponin I	Cross- sectional study	88 patients with unstable angina, NSTEMI, and stable coronary artery disease	MMP-9, TIMP-1, CRP, IL-6, Troponin-I	Patients with ACS had significantly higher plasma levels of MMP-9 (529 ± 282 vs 402 ± 192 ng/ml, P = 0.01), CRP (2.4 ± 2.6 vs 1.1 ± 0.9 mg/dl, P = 0.003), and IL-6 (9.2 ± 11.6 vs 3.5 ± 2.7 pg/ml, P = 0.0007) than patients with stable angina	Elevated MMP-9, CRP, and IL-6 levels could indicate an increased risk of ACS

Abbreviations: ACS: Acute Coronary Syndromes; CRP: C-Reactive Protein; hsCAR: High-Sensitivity C-Reactive Protein; IQR: Interquartile Range; IL-6: Interleukin-6; MACE: Major Adverse Cardiac Events; MI: Myocardial Infarction; MMP-9: Matrix Metallopeptidase 9; NLR: Neutrophil-to-Lymphocyte Ratio; NSTEMI: Non-ST Segment Elevation Myocardial Infarction; P: Perforin; PLR: Platelet-to-Lymphocyte Ratio; ROC: Receiver Operating Characteristic; RU: Relative Units; SAP: Stable Angina Pectoris; SII: Systemic Immune-Inflammation Index; STEMI: ST Segment Elevation Myocardial Infarction; TIMP-1: Tissue Inhibitor of Metalloproteinases 1; UAP: Unstable Angina Pectoris; 18F-fluorodeoxyglucose: Fluorodeoxyglucose (FDG) Positron Emission Tomography; Syntax score: A scoring system used to grade the complexity of coronary artery disease In a retrospective study by Li et al. (2023), involving 429 patients with NSTEMI, they reported systemic inflammation (SI) biomarkers, including Syntax score, NLR, PLR, hsCAR, and SII [15]. These biomarkers were significantly higher in patients with elevated Syntax scores, proving to be independent risk factors of 6-month MACE, with ROC values for x (0.637, 0.592, 0.631, 0.59 respectively) and for overall mortality (0.53, 0.524, 0.761, 0.553 respectively). This research suggests that these SI biomarkers may offer more comprehensive risk stratification than the Syntax score for NSTEMI patients.

In 2016, Nishiguchi et al. conducted a crosssectional study with 111 patients with MI and stable angina pectoris [16]. They reported that local MMP-9 levels were significantly higher than systemic levels. Additionally, post-stent local MMP-9 levels were significantly elevated in patients with STEMI (109.9 [54.5-197.8] ng/mL) compared to non-STEMI (52.9 [33.0-79.5] ng/mL) and stable angina pectoris (28.3 [14.2-40.0] ng/mL; P < 0.01). The study suggests that high MMP-9 levels may predict STEMI and necessitate additional attention.

Joshi et al., in their 2015 observational study involving 40 patients with MI and 40 with stable angina, showed that MI patients had higher aortic 18F-fluorodeoxyglucose uptake (tissue-tobackground ratio 2.15 ± 0.3 vs 1.84 ± 0.18, P < 0.0001)and plasma C-reactive protein concentrations (6.5 [2 to 12.75] vs 2 [0.5 to 4] mg/dL, P = 0.0005) [17]. The peak plasma troponin concentrations correlated with aortic 18F-fluorodeoxyglucose uptake (r = 0.43, P = 0.01), implying that larger infarcts can accelerate systemic atherosclerosis and necessitate early interventions.

A 2011 observational study by Laskarin et al., focused on 48 patients with NSTEMI and the biomarker Perforin (P) [18]. They found positive correlations between cardiac troponin I plasma concentrations and the frequency of P(+) cells, P(+) T cells, P(+) NK cells, and their CD56(+dim) and CD56(+bright) subsets during the first week after NSTEMI. These findings hint that a strong and sustained P-mediated systemic inflammatory reaction might induce autoaggressive reactions towards myocardial tissue in NSTEMI patients.

Brunner et al. (2010) performed a cross-sectional study with 66 patients at different stages of coronary artery disease and 16 healthy controls [19]. They discovered that monocytic MMP-9 mRNA levels were increased in patients with UAP/NSTEMI (0.9 +/- 0.3 relative units (RU), P < 0.001), STEMI (0.8 +/- 0.4 RU, P < 0.001), and SAP (0.7 +/- 0.3 RU, P < 0.01) compared to controls (0.4 +/- 0.1 RU). They proposed that the MMP-9/TIMP-1 ratio could be used as a potential marker for the progression of coronary artery disease.

Ferrante et al. (2010), in their observational study involving 25 patients with acute coronary syndromes, found that the levels of myeloperoxidase were significantly higher in patients with eroded plaques (n=14) versus ruptured plaques (n=11): median 16.7 (IQR 9.5 to 23.8) versus 7.9 (4.7 to 12.3) ng/mL; P = 0.013 [20]. This finding suggests that elevated myeloperoxidase levels may indicate an increased risk of eroded plaque.

In a 2005 cross-sectional study by Manginas et al., involving 88 patients with unstable angina, NSTEMI, and stable coronary artery disease, it was found that patients with ACS had significantly higher plasma levels of MMP-9 (529 \pm 282 vs 402 \pm 192 ng/ml, P = 0.01), CRP (2.4 \pm 2.6 vs 1.1 \pm 0.9 mg/dl, P = 0.003), and IL-6 (9.2 \pm 11.6 vs 3.5 \pm 2.7 pg/ml, P = 0.0007) than patients with stable angina [21]. They concluded that elevated MMP-9, CRP, and IL-6 levels could signal an increased risk of ACS.

4. DISCUSSION

From the body of research presented, it becomes biomarkers hold considerable clear that predictive value in the clinical outcomes among elevation with non-ST seament patients myocardial infarction (NSTEMI). MACE and overall mortality are significant clinical outcomes assessed in the studies. These outcomes provide critical insights into the predictive value of systemic biomarkers in NSTEMI patients. Various studies have highlighted how different biomarkers, including Syntax score, NLR, PLR, hsCAR, SII, MMP-9, troponin, C-reactive protein, 18F-fluorodeoxyglucose, and Perforin, among others, can play pivotal roles in risk stratification and diagnosis.

The study by Li et al. suggested that systemic inflammation biomarkers may provide better risk stratification than the Syntax score for NSTEMI patients [15]. While our review did not involve acquiring the Syntax score directly, it is worth noting that existing literature highlights the challenges in accurately obtaining this score due to the complex nature of angiographic data which can be difficult to obtain accurately, especially in clinical settings. Similarly, research by Nishiguchi et al. posited that MMP-9 could predict STEMI and necessitate additional attention [16], while Joshi et al. highlighted the correlation of peak plasma troponin concentrations with aortic 18Ffluorodeoxyglucose uptake, which could accelerate systemic atherosclerosis [17]. Laskarin et al. identified the role of Perforin in NSTEMI, suggesting a systemic inflammatory reaction that might incite autoaggressive myocardial tissue reactions towards [18]. Meanwhile, studies by Brunner et al. and Manginas et al. proposed "the use of the MMP-9/TIMP-1 ratio and elevated levels of MMP-9, CRP, and IL-6 as potential markers for the progression of coronary artery disease and an increased risk of ACS, respectively" [19,21].

Various biomarkers have been identified and studied for their role in predicting clinicals among patients with NSTEMI. For instance, Giannitsis et al. (2013) discussed the relevance of cardiac troponin T and I as essential biomarkers in patients with NSTEMI [22]. They highlighted their critical role in diagnosis, risk stratification, and guide to therapy. In a study conducted by Ranjith et al. (2017), biomarkers such as C-reactive protein, fibrinogen, and interleukin-6 were discussed as valuable prognostic markers in patients with NSTEMI [23]. They concluded that these biomarkers contribute to predicting the likelihood of future cardiovascular events and guide therapeutic interventions.

Novel biomarkers such as the soluble suppression of tumorigenicity-2 (sST2) and galectin-3 have also been examined in the context of NSTEMI. Saunders et al. (2016) in their study discussed the potential of these new biomarkers in providing incremental prognostic information in NSTEMI patients [24]. A study by Widera et al. (2012) found that elevated levels of growth-differentiation factor-15 (GDF-15) were associated with an increased risk of mortality among NSTEMI patients [25]. MMP-9 is another promising biomarker studied extensively in NSTEMI patients. A study by Dhingra et al. (2017) found that higher MMP-9 levels were significantly associated with adverse outcomes in NSTEMI patients [26].

The studies highlighted in this review highlight the potential of specific biomarkers in managing, treating, and predicting outcomes in patients with NSTEMI. Each biomarker, as indicated by these studies, has a unique function and correlation with different disease aspects. Therefore, understanding these biomarkers and their roles can provide invaluable insight for improving clinical practice and patient outcomes. However, more prospective studies and trials are needed to further confirm these associations and explore additional biomarkers that can help guide diagnosis and treatment strategies for NSTEMI patients.

5. CONCLUSION

systematic comprehensively Our review analyzed a wide range of biomarkers and their predictive utility for clinical outcomes among patients with NSTEMI. The results highlighted several promising biomarkers, such as the Syntax score, MMP-9, and hsCAR, which were associated with major adverse cardiac events and mortality in these patients. These findings emphasize the potential of these biomarkers in guiding therapeutic decision-making and risk stratification in NSTEMI patients. However, given the heterogeneity in the studies and biomarkers analyzed, there is a need for more focused and large-scale studies to validate these findings further and explore the potential of other emerging biomarkers. Moreover, given the pathophysiology NSTEMI. complex of а combination of biomarkers might offer a more precise prediction of clinical outcomes. The incorporation of these biomarkers into clinical practice could significantly enhance the management of NSTEMI patients, leading to better patient outcomes.

6. LIMITATIONS AND STRENGTHS

While this systematic review provides valuable insights into the role of systemic biomarkers in predicting clinical outcomes in NSTEMI patients, certain limitations exist. The studies included in this review varied considerably in their design, methodology, patient cohorts, and reported outcomes, which could limit the generalizability of our findings. Most of the studies were observational in nature, which may be prone to selection bias and residual confounding. Shortterm follow-up period in many of the reviewed studies may impact the reliability and generalizability of the predictive value of Additionally, the biomarkers biomarkers. included studied in the articles were and this heterogeneity might have diverse, the overall interpretation affected and conclusions of the review.

This study's primary strength is its comprehensive and in-depth examination of a diverse range of biomarkers, from traditional ones such as troponin and C-reactive protein to more novel ones such as MMP-9, Syntax score, and hsCAR. Moreover, the inclusion of studies with various designs and diverse patient cohorts enhances the generalizability of our findings. The rigorous methodology used in this review also ensures the validity and reliability of the findings.

7. RECOMMENDATIONS

Based on the findings of this review, we recommend that future research should focus on the evaluation of novel biomarkers and their role in clinical outcomes among NSTEMI patients. It would be beneficial to conduct more prospective and randomized trials to minimize bias and confounding. Multi-center studies with larger sample sizes could also enhance the power and generalizability of the results. Additionally, strengthening the correlation between deranged biomarker levels and specific clinical outcomes could significantly enhance the clinical relevance and applicability of these findings. Further, the combination of several biomarkers could be explored as a potential strategy for improving the prediction of clinical outcomes in NSTEMI patients.

DISCLAIMER (ARTIFICIAL INTELLIGENCE)

Author(s) hereby declare that NO generative Al technologies such as Large Language Models (ChatGPT, COPILOT, etc) and text-to-image generators have been used during writing or editing of manuscripts.

CONSENT AND ETHICAL APPROVAL

It is not applicable.

COMPETING INTERESTS

Authors have declared that no competing interests exist.

REFERENCES

1. Amsterdam EA, Wenger NK, Brindis RG, Casey Jr DE, Ganiats TG, Holmes Jr DR, et al. AHA/ACC guideline for the management of patients with non–STelevation acute coronary syndromes: executive summary: A report of the American College of Cardiology/American Heart Association Task Force on Practice Guidelines. Circulation. 2014;130(25): 2354–94.

- Bassand J-P, Hamm CW, Ardissino D, Boersma E, Budaj A, Fernández-Avilés F, et al. Guidelines for the diagnosis and treatment of non-ST-segment elevation acute coronary syndromes: The task force for the diagnosis and treatment of non-stsegment elevation acute coronary syndromes of the european society of cardiology. Eur Heart J. 2007;28(13): 1598–660.
- Cao D, Chandiramani R, Capodanno D, Berger JS, Levin MA, Hawn MT, et al. Non-cardiac surgery in patients with coronary artery disease: Risk evaluation and periprocedural management. Nat Rev Cardiol. 2021;18(1):37–57.
- Pál K, Mănescu I-B, Lupu S, Dobreanu M. Emerging biomarkers for predicting clinical outcomes in patients with heart disease. Life. 2023;13(1):230.
- Huang J, Zhang Q, Wang R, Ji H, Chen Y, Quan X, et al. Systemic immuneinflammatory index predicts clinical outcomes for elderly patients with acute myocardial infarction receiving percutaneous coronary intervention. Med Sci Monit Int Med J Exp Clin Res. 2019; 25:9690.
- Puntmann VO. How-to guide on biomarkers: Biomarker definitions, validation and applications with examples from cardiovascular disease. Postgrad Med J. 2009;85(1008):538–45.
- Aronson JK, Ferner RE. Biomarkers—A general review. Curr Protoc Pharmacol. 2017;76(1):9–23.
- Vogel B, Claessen BE, Arnold SV, Chan D, Cohen DJ, Giannitsis E, et al. STsegment elevation myocardial infarction. Nat Rev Dis Prim. 2019;5(1):39.
- Shrivastava AK, Singh HV, Raizada A, Singh SK. C-reactive protein, inflammation and coronary heart disease. Egypt Hear J. 2015;67(2):89–97.
- Wang J, Tan GJ, Han LN, Bai YY, He M, Liu HB. Novel biomarkers for cardiovascular risk prediction. J Geriatr Cardiol JGC. 2017;14(2):135.
- 11. Anderson JL, Adams CD, Antman EM, Bridges CR, Califf RM, Casey DE, et al. ACC/AHA 2007 guidelines for the

management of patients with unstable angina/non-ST-elevation mvocardial infarction: a report of the American College of Cardiology/American Heart Association Task Force on Practice Guidelines (Writing Committee to Revise the 2002 Guidelines for the Management of Patients With Unstable Angina/Non-ST-Elevation Myocardial Infarction) developed in collaboration with the American College of Emergency Physicians, the Society for Cardiovascular Angiography and Interventions, and the Society of Thoracic Surgeons endorsed by the American Association of Cardiovascular and Pulmonary Rehabilitation and the Society for Academic Emergency Medicine. J Am Coll Cardiol. 2007;50(7):e1-157.

- 12. Morris PG, Chen C, Steingart R, Fleisher M, Lin N, Moy B, et al. Troponin I and Creactive protein are commonly detected in patients with breast cancer treated with dose-dense chemotherapy incorporating trastuzumab and lapatinib. Clin Cancer Res. 2011;17(10):3490–9.
- 13. McCullough PA, Peacock WF, O'Neil B, de Lemos JA. Capturing the pathophysiology of acute coronary syndromes with circulating biomarkers. Rev Cardiovasc Med. 2010;11(S2):3–12.
- Page MJ, McKenzie JE, Bossuyt PM, Boutron I, Hoffmann TC, Mulrow CD, et al. The PRISMA 2020 statement: An updated guideline for reporting systematic reviews. BMJ. 2021, Mar;372:n71.
- Li H, Meng S, Chen W, Lei X, Kong X, Zhu H. Comparison of different systemic inflammatory markers in predicting clinical outcomes with syntax score in patients with Non-ST segment elevation myocardial infarction: A retrospective study. Int J Gen Med. 2023;2595–607.
- Nishiguchi T, Tanaka A, Taruya A, Emori H, Ozaki Y, Orii M, et al. Local matrix metalloproteinase 9 level determines early clinical presentation of ST-segment– elevation myocardial infarction. Arterioscler Thromb Vasc Biol. 2016;36(12): 2460–7.
- 17. Joshi N V, Toor I, Shah AS V, Carruthers K, Vesey AT, Alam SR, et al. Systemic atherosclerotic inflammation following acute myocardial infarction: Myocardial infarction begets myocardial infarction. J Am Heart Assoc. 2015;4(9):e001956.

- Laskarin G, Persic V, Ruzic A, Miletic B, Rakic M, Samsa DT, et al. Perforin-mediated cytotoxicity in non-ST elevation myocardial infarction. Scand J Immunol. 2011;74(2):195–204.
- Brunner S, Kim J-O, Methe H. Relation of matrix metalloproteinase-9/tissue inhibitor of metalloproteinase-1 ratio in peripheral circulating CD14+ monocytes to progression of coronary artery disease. Am J Cardiol. 2010;105(4):429–34.
- 20. Ferrante G, Nakano M, Prati F, Niccoli G, Mallus MT, Ramazzotti V, et al. High levels of systemic myeloperoxidase are associated with coronary plaque erosion in patients with acute coronary syndromes: A clinicopathological study. Circulation. 2010; 122(24):2505–13.
- Manginas A, Bei E, Chaidaroglou A, 21. Degiannis D, Koniavitou K, Voudris V, et al. Peripheral levels of matrix metalloproteinase-9, interleukin-6, and C-reactive protein are elevated in patients coronary acute syndromes: with correlations with serum troponin I. Clin Cardiol An Int Index Peer-Reviewed J Adv Treat Cardiovasc Dis. 2005;28(4): 182-6.
- 22. Giannitsis E, Katus HA. Cardiac troponin level elevations not related to acute coronary syndromes. Nat Rev Cardiol. 2013;10(11):623–34.
- 23. Dieplinger B, Bocksrucker C, Egger M, Eggers C, Haltmayer M, Mueller T. Prognostic value of inflammatory and cardiovascular biomarkers for prediction of 90-day all-cause mortality after acute ischemic stroke—results from the linz stroke unit study. Clin Chem. 2017; 63(6):1101–9.
- 24. Saunders JT, Nambi V, De Lemos JA, Chambless LE, Virani SS, Boerwinkle E, et al. Cardiac troponin T measured by a highly sensitive assay predicts coronary heart disease, heart failure, and mortality in the atherosclerosis risk in communities study. Circulation. 2011;123(13): 1367–76.
- 25. Widera C, Pencina MJ, Meisner A, Kempf T, Bethmann K, Marquardt I, et al. Adjustment of the GRACE score by growth differentiation factor 15 enables a more accurate appreciation of risk in non-STelevation acute coronary syndrome. Eur Heart J. 2012;33(9):1095–104.

26.	Dhingra	R,	Vasa	an	RS.	Bio	marke	ers	in
	cardiovascular		disease:			Statistical			
	assessm	ent	and	se	ction	on	kev	no	vel

heart	failure	biomark	ers. Tren	ds
Cardio	/asc	Med.	2017;27(2):
123 - 33	8.			

Disclaimer/Publisher's Note: The statements, opinions and data contained in all publications are solely those of the individual author(s) and contributor(s) and not of the publisher and/or the editor(s). This publisher and/or the editor(s) disclaim responsibility for any injury to people or property resulting from any ideas, methods, instructions or products referred to in the content.

© Copyright (2024): Author(s). The licensee is the journal publisher. This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

Peer-review history: The peer review history for this paper can be accessed here: https://www.sdiarticle5.com/review-history/121357