



SCIENCEDOMAIN international www.sciencedomain.org

Lipoprotein (a) Particles Characterization by Dynamic Light Scattering

Valentina Pasquetto¹, Alice Santonastaso¹, Stefania Grandi^{2,3}, Giuseppe Derosa^{4,5}, Angela D'Angelo^{4,5} and Claudia Scotti^{1*}

¹Department of Molecular Medicine, Unit of Immunology and General Pathology, University of Pavia, Pavia, Italy. ²Department of Chemistry, University of Pavia, Pavia, Italy.

³Nano Analysis and Materials (NAM) S.r.l., Pavia, Italy.

⁴Department of Internal Medicine and Therapeutics, University of Pavia, Fondazione IRCCS Policlinico San Matteo, Pavia, Italy.

⁵Laboratory of Molecular Medicine, University of Pavia, Fondazione IRCCS Policlinico San Matteo, Pavia, Italy.

Authors' contributions

This work was carried out in collaboration between all authors. Author CS contributed the original idea, coordination of experiments, methods, writing of the manuscript and data analysis. Authors VP and AS provided protein purification and quantification, and revision of the manuscript. Author GD provided revision of manuscript. Western blotting was performed by author AD. Author SG produced Zeta sizer measurements. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

Article Information

DOI: 10.9734/BJMMR/2016/27076 <u>Editor(s)</u>: (1) E. Umit Bagriacik, Department of Immunology, Gazi University, Turkey. (2) Mohammed Rachidi, Molecular Genetics of Human Diseases, French Polynesia, University Paris 7 Denis Diderot, Paris, France. (1) Maria Luz Fernandez, University of Connecticut, Storrs, CT, USA. (2) Ahmed Bakillah, SUNY Downstate Medical Center, Brooklyn, NY, USA. Complete Peer review History: <u>http://sciencedomain.org/review-history/15327</u>

> Received 17th May 2016 Accepted 1st July 2016 Published 9th July 2016

Original Research Article

ABSTRACT

Lp(a) is a novel cardiovascular risk factor resembling an LDL particle. It includes a copy of apolipoprotein (a) [apo(a)], whose molecular weight is dependent on the number of genetically encoded kringle IV type 2 (KIV-2) repeats and inversely related with Lp(a) plasma concentration and risk. The reason for this inverse relationship is unclear and, particularly, there are no data regarding the size of Lp(a) particles carrying apo(a) with different molecular weights. The aim of the present work was to explore if a relationship existed between apo(a) molecular weight and

particles size in Lp(a) samples carrying 20, 25 and 28 KIV-2 repeats (K20, K25 and K28, respectively). Dynamic Light Scattering (DLS) measurements were performed on affinity-purified Lp(a). A preliminary finding was that particles were typically distributed into three different size groups instead of the single one expected. No difference in average particle size between Lp(a) carrying different apo(a) isoforms was found. However, the percentage of medium-sized particles in each sample was found to be inversely related to the number of KIV-2 repeats (R²=0.99), with a clear predominance in K20 (58.53%). These data deserve further investigations, as they might be potentially relevant to explain the pathogenic role of low molecular weight Lp(a) isoforms.

Keywords: Lipoprotein (a); particle size; atherosclerosis; plasma; Zeta-sizer.

1. INTRODUCTION

Lipoprotein(a) [Lp(a)] is a plasma LDL-like particle [1] and it is an independent risk factor for atherosclerotic diseases because of its concentration-dependent pro-atherogenic, prothrombotic and antifibrinolytic properties [2,3]. Different epidemiological studies have suggested that Lp(a) could increase the risk of cardiovascular disease and ischemic stroke, especially if associated with other predisposing such hypercholesterolemia, factors as hypertension, diabetes mellitus and low HDL level [2].

Lp(a) is present in the arterial wall of atherosclerotic lesions and its accumulation involves recruitment of macrophages [4,5]. These cells incorporate the lipid component and are transformed into foam cells. In this macrophages environment, also release cytokines and growth factors that lead to the proliferation and migration of vascular smooth muscle cells from the intima-media and contribute to plaque formation. Lp(a) also has a direct effect on fibrinolytic factors, in particular, it stimulates the expression of PAI-1 in endothelial cells and it has been described as directly inhibiting plasmin by complexing and inactivating tissue-type plasminogen activator (tPA) [6].

Lp(a) composition is similar to that of LDL in terms of cholesterol, triglycerides, phospholipids, and apoB100. The unique and distinctive component of Lp(a) is the apolipoprotein(a) [apo(a)] glycoprotein, a member of the plasminogen gene family, with a strong structural homology with plasminogen [7]. Apo(a) is disulfide linked to the apoB100 of the LDL-like particle. It is known to be a very heterogeneous glycoprotein including domains referred to as kringle IV, kringle V, and the protease domain [8]. The apo(a) kringle IV domains can be classified into 10 types (KIV₁-KIV₁₀) on the basis of amino acid sequence [9]. Kringle IV type 2 is present in a variable number of copies (from 3 to 48), which generates Lp(a) isoform size heterogeneity (more than 25 described) in humans [10-12], with the low MW species related to high plasma concentration and vice versa [13].

The size of the main lipoprotein classes is well defined [14], but recent evidence suggests that further classifications in class subtypes according to size can be relevant to determine the related risk [15]. This is probably related to the fact that different particle sizes correspond to a different lipid composition and also to a different capacity to overcome the endothelium and to be internalized and metabolized by target cells.

In the present study, we wanted to verify for the first time if affinity purification of plasma-derived Lp(a) combined with Dynamic Light Scattering (DLS) can represent a useful method to investigate the relationship between the apo(a) isoform, expressed as a number of KIV-2 repeats, and the size of affinity purified Lp(a) particles obtained from homozygous individuals.

2. MATERIALS AND METHODS

2.1 Molecular Weight Determination of Apo(a) in Patients Sera

Plasma samples were kindly donated by the Immunohaematology and Transfusional Service of IRCCS San Matteo Foundation (Pavia, Italy), and were derived from healthy plasma donors aged between 18 and 60 years old. The apo(a) phenotype was analyzed by high-resolution phenotyping with sodium dodecyl sulfate agarose electrophoresis (SDS-agarose) under gel reducing conditions as outlined previously [13] with slight modifications. Briefly, 15 µl of EDTAplasma samples were pretreated with 30 µl of a reducing solution. The submarine electrophoretic run was performed on a 1% SDS-agarose gel and electrophoresis was carried out in tank buffer at 80 V and 0.04 A for 14 h. Reduced samples (20 µl) were applied into wells, at 3 cm from the cathode of the gel. The separated proteins were transferred onto a nitrocellulose membrane

(Bio-Rad, Segrate, Italy) by a capillary blotting technique and tested with a rabbit polyclonal anti-human Lp(a) antiserum (DAKO, Glostrup, Denmark) diluted 1:500 in 1% BSA overnight. goat peroxidase-conjugated anti-rabbit А immunoglobulin (DAKO, Glostrup, Denmark, 1:1000 in TBS) was used as a secondary antibody. The membrane was developed with 50 ml of TBS, 500 µl of hydrogen peroxide, 30 mg of 4-chloro-1-naphthol (4CN) dissolved in 10 ml of cold methanol for 15 min. The reaction was then stopped washing with water. Relative band mobility was determined as referred to the mobility of apo(a) standard isoforms included in each blot (values: 35, 27, 23, 19, and 14 KIV-2 repeats; Immuno AG, Wien, Austria) and the number of KIV-2 repeats in each sample was thus calculated.

2.2 Determination of Lp(a) Concentration

Macra® Lp(a) Test Kit (Trinity Biotech) is a sandwich ELISA which specifically detects the apo(a) moiety of Lp(a). The monoclonal antibody was used to coat the wells of a microtiter plate and used to capture Lp(a) from the sample during a one-hour incubation at room temperature. After washing, a polyclonal anti-Lp(a) horseradish peroxidase (HRP) conjugate antibody was added and incubation performed at room temperature for 20 min. The plate was then washed and a chromogenic substrate for horseradish peroxidise (o-phenylenediamine) was provided to produce a coloured solution. After 20 min, the reaction was stopped with sulfuric acid and the concentration of Lp(a) mass (mg/dl) was quantitatively determined by comparison of the absorbance of the sample at 492 nm with a standard curve prepared with known concentrations of Lp(a). The standards contained Lp(a) in human plasma in a buffered solution and corresponded to 0, 5, 10, 20, 40 and 80 mg/dl. The kit intra-assay %CV was 1.4-7.0% and the inter-assay %CV 6.0-12.7%.

2.3 Lp(a) Purification

Activated Sepharose 4 CNBr (Amersham Biosciences) was resuspended and washed three times in 1 mM HCl pH 3.0, put on the endover-end mixer for 15 min, and then coupled with 5 mg/ml rabbit anti-human lipoprotein(a) polyclonal antibody (DAKO) dissolved in Coupling Buffer (0.1 M NaHCO₃ pH 8.3, 0.5 M NaCl) at RT for 2 h. After centrifugation, the remaining active groups of the resin were blocked with 0.2 M glycine pH 8.0 on an endover-end mixer at RT for 2 h. The resin was finally washed with three cycles of alternating pHs. In order to purify Lp(a), 25-50 ml of the selected plasma samples were incubated with the anti-Lp(a) affinity matrix, previously equilibrated in PBS, 10 mM NaN₃, in an endover-end mixer at 4℃ overnight. The gel was loaded into a column and washed with PBS made up to 0.35 M NaCl, pH 7.0. Lp(a) was eluted with 100 mM glycine pH 3.0 and 2 ml fractions were collected, supplied with 200 µl of 1.0 M Tris pH 8.0 to neutralise the pH, pooled, concentrated, and then dialysed against refolding buffer (30 mM Tris HCl, pH 7.4, 150 mM NaCl, 1 mM EDTA, 1 mM DTE, 1.3 g/l ɛ-aminocaproic acid, 200 mM PMSF, 0.5 g/l sodium azide, Fluka) or PBS. Purified Lp(a) preparations were checked by Western blot analysis and Lp(a) concentration determined by Macra Lp(a) ELISA (see above).

2.4 Size Measurement

Lp(a) samples were concentrated to 1 mg/ml on a 50 kDa cut-off Amicon Ultra-15 Centrifugal concentrator (Millipore) and then dialysed by diafiltration against PBS or refolding buffer using the same concentrator. Volume reduction to 500 μ l, 1:30 dilution with buffer and centrifugation were repeated at least 3 times. Measurement of particle size was performed by a Malvern Zeta Sizer ZS90 using default settings. Buffer was used as a negative control. Measurements were repeated 3 times for each sample.

2.5 Statistical Analysis

The experiments were performed twice. Statistical analysis was performed by ANOVA and Tukey post-hoc analysis using the VassarStats server (<u>http://vassarstats.net/</u>).

3. RESULTS

3.1 Apo(a) Molecular Weight Determination

Among the plasmas tested in western blotting (of which 14 are shown in Fig. 1), four homozygous samples (single band phenotypes) with the following number of kringles were selected for further analysis: 20 (K20, two samples, of which one shown in Fig. 1), 25, 28 (K25, K28, one sample each). This prevalence of single band phenotypes (30.8%) is not too far from that present in white Americans (23.9%), while the expected prevalence of the specific phenotypes here detected is 1.51%, 1.36% and 1.9% in the same population [16].



Fig. 1. Western blotting for Lp(a) detection in human plasma samples from healthy donors. Lanes: M: marker. Numbers refer to KIV-2 repeats. Numbers under each lane refer to the KIV-2 repeats present in the apo(a) apoprotein of each sample. Null indicates undetectable levels of Lp(a)

3.2 Affinity Purification of Lp(a)

Lp(a) concentration in starting plasma samples was comprised between 40 and 140 μ g/ml and purification yields were 3-5 μ g/ml plasma (3.5-7.5%). Two independent purifications were repeated for each sample and sample integrity confirmed by western blotting.

3.3 Lp(a) Size

DLS allows a label-free measurement of particle size. Zeta-sizer measurements were performed on Lp(a) dialysed versus refolding buffer or PBS, in order to assure the best stability of the sample, in the first case, and more physiological conditions, in the second case. Very similar results were found in both cases and the data obtained with refolding buffer are here presented and discussed.

Unexpectedly, a composite profile including 3 peaks of different size was typically found, as summarized in Table 1. Small particles diameter ranged between 11.92 and 14.02 nm; medium-sized particles between 45.70 and 56.92 nm and large particles between 398.77 and 502.89 nm. Comparing the size of small, medium and large particles in different apo(a) isoforms (Table 1), no difference was detected (P=.40, P=.35, P=.62) and changing the buffer to PBS did not change these results.

Along with size, we also measured a second parameter provided by the Zeta-sizer, peak

intensity (Fig. 1), expressed as a percentage of total signal and hence an indicator of the relative abundance of a particle species present in a given sample. Within small particles (blue in Fig. 2), the relative peak intensity was higher in K28 compared to K20 and K25 (P=.0019 and P=.0083, respectively). No difference was detected in medium sized lipoproteins (red in Fig. 2, P=.17), while higher proportion of large particles а (green in Fig. 2) was detected (P=.02) in K28 compared to K20 (P=.025). Within each isoform, significant differences were also observed in relative peak intensities for K20 and K25 (Fig. 2, P=.000 for K20, P=.000 for K25), but not for K28, where the three species seemed to occur in roughly the same percentage (P=.21). Particularly, in both K20 and K25 the most represented particle size was the medium-sized one (58% for K20 and 46% for K25, respectively).

Interestingly, normalizing the intensity of medium and large particles by the one of small particles, the value for large ones is similar in the three apo(a) types, while medium particles greatly prevail in K20 and K25 samples compared with K28 (4 and 2.5 times, respectively, Fig. 3). Indeed, the inverse relationship between KIV-2 repeats number and percentage of medium particles has an R^2 of 0.99. The direct relationship between KIV-2 repeats number and percentage of large particles has an R^2 of 0.88. R^2 becomes only 0.68 for small particles.

Pasquetto et al.; BJMMR, 16(10): 1-8, 2016; Article no.BJMMR.27076

	K20	K25	K28
Small	11.92±2.81	14.02±1.67	12.84±2.29
Medium	47.06±12.52	56.92±9.65	45.70±9.08
Large	502.89±295.62	398.77±107.89	413.66±114.32









Fig. 3. Relative intensiy peaks normalized versus small particles

4. DISCUSSION

In this work, the particle size of purified Lp(a) carrying three different homozygous apo(a) isoforms was evaluated by DLS, which allows lable-free measurements.

The first observation was a consistent heterogeneity of Lp(a) particles, demonstrated by

the presence of three peaks instead of the single peak expected for a single particle species. Why did we observe several peaks? A possible interpretation is based on the isolation procedure we adopted. In fact, most of the described purification procedures to isolate Lp(a) include a gradient centrifugation step. This introduces a selection bias based on particle density leading to the isolation of a very homogenous fraction, which could however reduce the real complexity of the sample. In contrast, a single affinity chromatography step, like the one we applied, should capture all the plasma elements that can bind anti-Lp(a) antibodies. We can therefore hypothesise that three types of plasma particles bind to anti-apo(a) antibodies and the heterogeneity we detected could be a relevant physiological feature thus far undetectable with non-DLS techniques and using material derived from non-affinity based purification methods. Because of the relevance microvesicles (100-1000 nm in size) are acquiring in biology [17], this issue requires further investigations. Indeed, apo(a) has been detected in plasma microvesicles [18] and LDL particles have been shown to co-purify with them [19].

An alternative interpretation is that two of the three observed peaks could be artefacts deriving either from Lp(a) degradation or aggregation, the latter possibly due to the high Lp(a) concentration needed for DLS measurements. Stabilization of purified Lp(a) in solution, in fact, is not trivial, especially at high concentration and, in our experience, precipitation of purified Lp(a) in PBS can start occurring already at 80 μ g/ml, a concentration 12.5x lower than the one required for DLS determinations.

Both hypothesis (aggregation and microvescicles) can explain the high SDs of large particles measurements and are not mutually exclusive. Because of this, they will be kept in mind in the following experiments.

A size for Lp(a) particles of 28.3±0.5 nm and 25 nm has been previously determined by nondenaturing native gel electrophoresis ([20] and [14], respectively), while a smaller diameter can be deduced from the experiments by Fless et al. [21] (ca 20 nm). Interestingly, none of the sizes we determined for small, medium and large particles perfectly fits with these reported data, the closest values being those of the medium particle series (45.70-56.92 nm). The reason for this discrepancy may lay on two factors. One is the fact that DLS measures the hydrodynamic diameter of particles, which includes both the molecule and the adherent solvent layer. The other is that apo(a), which is a very hydrophilic molecule because of its highly glycosilated state, is often described as floating from the Lp(a) particle like a sort of tail, thus turning its approximate shape from that of an ideal sphere into that of an elliptical object (Fig. 4, [22]).

In DLS, the direct observations are the intensity fluctuations due to the diffusion of the particles, and this diffusion coefficient, interpreted as a hydrodynamic size using the Stokes Einstein equation, is compared to that of a hypothetical sphere moving with the same diffusion coefficient. Particularly, the direct intensity size distribution may inherently be weighed to larger sizes, due the fact that the scattering intensity is proportional to the sixth power of size. Under ideal conditions, the number distribution transformation of the DLS result should be very close to other measurements techniques, but, for polydisperse samples like ours, this can be more difficult to achieve. It is worth noticing that the hydrodynamic diameter of a particle is relevant in biological assays and in In vitro migration.



Fig. 4. Lp(a) structure [22]. It can be appreciated how the presence of apo(a) influences the shape of the basic LDL unit, deviating it from the spherical one

According to our data, we can exclude a correlation, either direct or inverse, between apo(a) isoform and any of the three Lp(a) particle sizes we detected, which was the original aim of the present work. However, analysing peak intensity, a difference in the relative distributions of the three peaks was found within each isoform, with a higher prevalence of small and large particles in K28 compared to K20 and K25. Moreover, a perfect inverse correlation was found between the percentage of medium-sized particles present in each isoform and the number of KIV-2 repeats carried by apo(a). This behaviour might depend on the physico-chemical features of Lp(a) carrying different apo(a) isoforms and might have physiological and pathological relevance. In fact, it has been shown that, in patients, an LDL pattern that has more small dense LDL particles, called Pattern B (19.0-20.5 nm), equates to a higher risk factor for coronary heart disease than does a pattern with more of the larger and less-dense LDL particles (Pattern A, 20.6–22 nm) [23]. This is thought to be because the smaller particles are more easily able to penetrate the endothelium, whose normal gaps are 26 nm in diameter [23]. According to our data, the relative distribution by size could also be relevant for Lp(a).

5. CONCLUSIONS

In summary, a difference in average size was not detected in affinity-purified Lp(a) carrying apo(a) with different isoforms, but two serendipitous observations were reported. The first regards the co-existence of three particle types with different hydrodynamic diameters in Lp(a) samples purified by affinity chromatography. The second regards the different relative abundance of these species in Lp(a) samples with different apo(a) isoforms. Particularly, the highest prevalence of medium particles, whose size is the most compatible with the one thus-far described for Lp(a) by other techniques, was measured in K20.

In order to characterise the different particles we detected in DLS, affinity chromatography could be implemented with separation techniques apt to isolate and analyse homogenous Lp(a) subpopulations, particles such as highperformance liquid chromatography (HPLC), electron microscopy (EM), nuclear magnetic (NMR), Size Exclusion resonance Chromatography - Multi-angle Light Scattering (SEC-MALS) and Field-Flow Fractionation (FFF). Unfortunately, plasma samples from healthy donors have the great disadvantage of being very limited in available amounts. In order to circumvent this issue, we are also planning to produce apo(a) and Lp(a) of given molecular weights in recombinant form in order to have access to an indefinite source of homogenous samples. More effort is needed to characterize the role of Lp(a) as a particle in atherogenesis. The data here presented, despite their limitations mainly due to the original combination of techniques adopted, suggest for the first time that DLS analysis could be relevant to study the physiology and the pathogenetic mechanisms of Lp(a), which, if these results are validated, might need to be considered not as a single particle species, but as composed by multiple subclasses.

CONSENT AND ETHICAL APPROVAL

All the plasma samples were obtained from donors affiliated to the Immunoheamatology and

Transfusional Service of IRCCS San Matteo Foundation, Pavia, and who had signed an informed consent according to the existing relevant Italian regulations.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

We would like to acknowledge useful discussions with Prof. Vittorio Molina, and to thank Dr. Paola Isernia and Prof. Laura Salvaneschi for the kind supply of plasma bags. Funding for this project derived from MISE-ICE project n. 166-2010, which includes a contribution by Neomed S.r.I. and by Rottapharm S.r.I. Neomed S.r.I. also contributed to support VP's fellowship.

COMPETING INTERESTS

Authors have declared that no competing interests exist.

REFERENCES

- Berg K. A new serum type system in manthe LP system. Acta Pathol Microbiol Scand. 1963;59:369-82.
- Danesh J, Collins RPeto R. Lipoprotein (a) and coronary heart disease. Meta-analysis of prospective studies. Circulation. 2000; 102:1082-5.
- 3. Smolders B, Lemmens RThijs V. Lipoprotein (a) and stroke: A meta-analysis of observational studies. Stroke. 2007; 38:1959-66.
- Niendorf A, Rath M, Wolf K, Peters S, Arps H, Beisiegel U, et al. Morphological detection and quantification of lipoprotein(a) deposition in atheromatous lesions of human aorta and coronary arteries. Virchows Arch A Pathol Anat Histopathol. 1990;417:105-11.
- 5. Rath M, Niendorf A, Reblin T, Dietel M, Krebber HJBeisiegel U. Detection and quantification of lipoprotein(a) in the arterial wall of 107 coronary bypass patients. Arteriosclerosis. 1989;9:579-92.
- Etingin OR, Hajjar DP, Hajjar KA, Harpel PCNachman RL. Lipoprotein (a) regulates plasminogen activator inhibitor-1 expression in endothelial cells. A potential mechanism in thrombogenesis. J Biol Chem. 1991;266:2459-65.
- Ichinose A. Multiple members of the plasminogen-apolipoprotein (a) gene family associated with thrombosis. Biochemistry. 1992;31:3113-8.
- 8. Seman LJ, DeLuca C, Jenner JL, Cupples LA, McNamara JR, Wilson PW, et al.

Lipoprotein(a)-cholesterol and coronary heart disease in the framingham heart Study. Clin Chem. 1999;45:1039-46.

- Rahman MN, Becker L, Petrounevitch V, Hill BC, Jia ZKoschinsky ML. Comparative analyses of the lysine binding site properties of apolipoprotein(a) kringle IV types 7 and 10. Biochemistry. 2002; 41:1149-55.
- Marcovina SM, Albers JJ, Scanu AM, Kennedy H, Giaculli F, Berg K, et al. Use of a reference material proposed by the International Federation of Clinical Chemistry and Laboratory Medicine to evaluate analytical methods for the determination of plasma lipoprotein(a). Clin Chem. 2000;46:1956-67.
- 11. Rifai N, Warnick GR, Dominiczak MH. Handbook of Lipoprotein Testing. AACC Press; 2000.
- Xia J, May LFKoschinsky ML. Characterization of the basis of lipoprotein

 [a] lysine-binding heterogeneity. J Lipid Res. 2000;41:1578-84.
- Gazzaruso C, Buscaglia P, Garzaniti A, Falcone C, Mariotti S, Savino S, et al. Association of lipoprotein(a) levels and apolipoprotein(a) phenotypes with coronary heart disease in patients with essential hypertension. J Hypertens. 1997; 15:227-35.
- 14. Rader JD, Hobbs HH. Disorders of lipoprotein metabolism, in Harrison's Principles of Internal Medicine, D. Longo, et al., Editors. Mc Grow-Hill Professional; 2011.
- 15. Superko HR. Advanced lipoprotein testing and subfractionation are clinically useful. Circulation. 2009;119:2383-95.
- Marcovina SM, Albers JJ, Wijsman E, Zhang Z, Chapman NHKennedy H. Differences in Lp[a] concentrations and

apo[a] polymorphs between black and white Americans. J Lipid Res. 1996; 37:2569-85.

- 17. Abels ER, Breakefield XO. Introduction to extracellular vesicles: Biogenesis, RNA Cargo Selection, Content, Release, and Uptake. Cell Mol Neurobiol. 2016;36:301-12.
- Looze C, Yui D, Leung L, Ingham M, Kaler M, Yao X, et al. Proteomic profiling of human plasma exosomes identifies PPA Rgamma as an exosome-associated protein. Biochem Biophys Res Commun. 2009; 378:433-8.
- Sodar BW, Kittel A, Paloczi K, Vukman KV, Osteikoetxea X, Szabo-Taylor K, et al. Low-density lipoprotein mimics blood plasma-derived exosomes and microvesicles during isolation and detection. Sci Rep. 2016;6:24316.
- O'Neal D, Grieve G, Rae D, Dragicevic GBest JD. Factors influencing Lp[a]particle size as determined by gradient gel electrophoresis. J Lipid Res. 1996; 37:1655-63.
- Fless GM, Rolih CA, Scanu AM. Heterogeneity of human plasma lipoprotein (a). Isolation and characterization of the lipoprotein subspecies and their apoproteins. J Biol Chem. 1984;259: 11470-8.
- 22. Man LC, Kelly E, Duffy D. Targeting lipoprotein (a): An evolving therapeutic landscape. Curr Atheroscler Rep. 2015; 17:502.
- 23. Bhalodkar NC, Blum S, Rana T, Kitchappa R, Bhalodkar AN, Enas EA. Comparison of high-density and low-density lipoprotein cholesterol subclasses and sizes in Asian Indian women with Caucasian women from the Framingham Offspring Study. Clin Cardiol. 2005;28:247-51.

© 2016 Pasquetto et al.; This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

Peer-review history: The peer review history for this paper can be accessed here: http://sciencedomain.org/review-history/15327