



## **Factors Associated with Prognosis of Non-Alcoholic Fatty Liver Disease**

**Muamar M. A. Shaheen<sup>1\*</sup>, Mohanad Saleh<sup>1,2</sup>, Deema Sider<sup>1</sup>, Reem Al Natsheh<sup>1</sup> and Raghad Dweik<sup>1</sup>**

<sup>1</sup>*Department of Clinical Pharmacy, Hebron University, Hebron, P.O. Box 40, Palestine.*

<sup>2</sup>*Respiratory Department and Attending Internist at intensive care unit respiratory department, Al-Ahli Hospital, Hebron, Palestine.*

### **Authors' contributions**

*This work was carried out in collaboration among all authors. Authors MS and MMAS designed the study, performed the statistical analysis, wrote the protocol. Author MMAS wrote the first draft of the manuscript. Authors DS, RAN and RD managed the analyses of the study and the literature searches. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.*

### **Article Information**

DOI: 10.9734/AJRIMPS/2021/v10i230158

#### Editor(s):

(1) Dr. Somdet Srichairatanakool, Chiang Mai University, Thailand.

#### Reviewers:

(1) Farah Saeed, Dow University of Health Sciences, Pakistan.

(2) Salih Mahdi Salman, University of Diyala, Iraq.

Complete Peer review History: <http://www.sdiarticle4.com/review-history/66133>

**Original Research Article**

**Received 28 December 2020**

**Accepted 04 March 2021**

**Published 16 March 2021**

### **ABSTRACT**

**Background:** At the junction between obesity, metabolic syndrome and liver failure, lies Non-alcoholic fatty liver disease. Recent studies elaborated on role of metformin in patients with non-alcoholic fatty liver disease. This observation has not been studied at a global scale, neither it was investigated in different ethnical groups.

**Objectives:** We aim at determining the risk factors associated with prognosis of non-alcoholic fatty liver disease among a cohort of patients in Southern West Bank, Palestine.

**Methods:** A retrospective cohort study involving 300 NAFLD patients who visited the internal medicine department at Hebron Governmental Hospital from October 2017 till September 2018. Two hundred and three patients diagnosed with non-alcoholic fatty liver disease, were included in this study. Lab test results within the past 6 months, comorbidity and medication history were collected from patients' profiles. Data was analyzed using SPSS V20. Liver Fibrosis score was determined by using non-alcoholic fatty liver disease fibrosis score calculator.

\*Corresponding author: E-mail: [muamarsh@hebron.edu](mailto:muamarsh@hebron.edu);

**Results:** Two hundred and three non-alcoholic fatty liver disease patients (58.6% females), 54.78 ( $\pm 12.27$ ) years old were included in the study. Almost 65.5% of these patients have BMI  $>30$  Kg/m<sup>2</sup>. It was found that, 62.25% of the 58 diabetic patients in this study had liver fibrosis score  $> 0.676$  comparing to non-alcoholic fatty liver disease patients who are non-diabetic. There was a significant relationship between diabetes and fibrosis score,  $\alpha=0.000$ . There was also a significant relationship between hyperlipidemia and fibrosis score of non-alcoholic fatty liver disease patients,  $\alpha=0.023$ . We found a significant relationship between fibrosis score and hypertension,  $\alpha=0.000$ . In the same context, there was a significant relationship between NAFLD patients who were on statin therapy and those who were not using statin therapy,  $\alpha= 0.015$ . Metformin was not associated with significant relationship between users and non-users non-alcoholic fatty liver disease subjects.

**Conclusion:** Diabetes mellitus, hypertension, hyperlipidemia and statin use were associated with NAFLD prognosis.

*Keywords: Liver fibrosis score; hypertension; dyslipidemia; diabetes mellitus; metformin; non-alcoholic fatty liver disease.*

## ABBREVIATIONS

*ACEIs : Angiotensin-converting-enzyme inhibitors,  
CCBs : Calcium channel blockers, BMI: Body Mass Index.*

## 1. INTRODUCTION

Non-alcoholic fatty liver disease (NAFLD) is considered as the first cause of end-stage liver disease in Western countries [1]. It occurs as a consequence of the accumulation of fat in hepatocytes without significant alcohol consumption. The American Association for the Study of Liver Diseases in 2018 defined NAFLD as the presence of 5% hepatic steatosis without evidence of hepatocellular injury in the form of hepatocyte ballooning [2].

The prevalence of NAFLD worldwide is thought to be on the rise over the next 20 years [3]. Global prevalence of NAFLD disease varying between 20-50% [4-7]. NAFLD disease was recorded the highest prevalence in the Middle East and South America (31.79% and 30.45%), respectively, while the lowest attribution was reported in Africa (13.48) [8]. Ultrasonography survey in the Mediterranean region indicated that the prevalence of NAFLD was 36.8% in men and 25.7% in women [9]. A study on general population in 2006 indicated that the prevalence of NAFLD in Israel was 30% [10].

The pathogenesis of NAFLD is associated with a variety of complex and multifactorial pathological conditions known as Metabolic Syndrome [11,12]. Insulin resistance plays a central role in the development and progression of NAFLD [13,11]. Insulin resistance cause hyperinsulinemia which results in the

development of steatosis, hepatic denovolipogenesis, and increased adipose tissue lipolysis. This leads to rising in the level of free fatty acids and consequently increased fatty acids in the liver [11,13,14]. Once in the liver, free fatty acids causes a chronic low-grade inflammation. This will provoke in turn an inflammatory response mediated by immune cells, chemical mediators and adipocytes leading to disease progression and liver damage [15].

Age, gender, obesity, body mass index (BMI), disease state and other concomitant diseases such as Diabetes Miletus, hypertension, dyslipidemia are risk factors contribute to the development and/ or progression of NAFLD [16-31]. These factors affect to various degrees the prognosis of the disease.

Life style of patients such as smoking or stagnant life style, affect NAFLD prognosis too. Many studies considered moving time versus setting time as risk factors in developing and progression of the disease [32-39].

Controversial reports were found about role of various Anti-hyperglycemic medications (metformin, insulin, sulfonylureas) in NAFLD. In addition to that, antihypertensive and lipid lowering agents (statins) play major role in NAFLD [40-75].

Statins are the most widely used lipid lowering agents in dyslipidemia [72]. They reduce

cholesterol levels by inhibiting HMG-CoA reductase enzyme [73]. According to dose and type, they lower LDL cholesterol by 20 to 60%, triglycerides by 10 to 33% and increase HDL cholesterol by 5 to 10% by average [74]. It is approved to decrease liver fibrosis in NAFLD patients where it is effective and safe option [75]. Atorvastatin has been played a positive role in delaying lipid deposition in patients with NAFLD, but the overall effect is limited [76]. Current international guidelines are not recommending statins for the management of NAFLDs patients and suggest that more biopsy-proven benefits are mandatory from large randomized trials [77].

In this study we are going to evaluate for the first time in Palestine the factors associated with NAFLD prognosis and risk factors for developing terminal liver injury while looking for positive factors that might improve it.

## 2. METHODS

A retrospective cohort study involving all NAFLD patients who visited the internal medicine department at Hebron Governmental Hospital between October 2017 and September 2018 was done. We reviewed 3000 patients' electronic and/or paper-based profiles during that period. A face to face or telephone-based interview with the patient or his/her caregiver was done when necessary in order to get precise information or missing information from profile. Only 203 NAFLD patients were included in the study who have their laboratory test results for ALT, AST, IGF, platelet count, and Albumin done within the past 6 months. SPSS version 20 was used to analyze the data. NAFLD fibrosis score was determined using NAFLD fibrosis score calculator by Angulo P. et. al, available on line.

## 3. RESULTS

As shown in Table 1, 203 patients were included in the study, (58.6% females), age ( $54.78 \pm 12.27$  years old). Most of them, (50.2%), were in the age group of 40-59 years and 76.8% of them were non-smokers. Majority of patients were living in villages, (59.6%)

In fact, 65.5% of subjects were obese, (BMI  $>30$  Kg/m<sup>2</sup>). For daily activities, 39.9% of patients have a sitting time  $> 7$  hours per day while 37.4% had moving time from 1-3 hours. For meals; 54.7% of them had 2 meals per day while 34.5% had more than 3 meals per day.

In addition to NAFLD, we found that 119 subjects suffered from various comorbidities. Fibrosis score as main outcome of the study was calculated for all patients and they were categorized accordingly as shown in Table 1 below.

As shown in Table 2 below, there was a significant difference in fibrosis score between NAFLD patients who have diabetes and NAFLD patients without diabetic,  $\alpha = 0.000$ . There was no significant difference between the 2 groups according to years of diabetes,  $\alpha = 0.167$ .

Dyslipidemia was a major factor in prognosis of NAFLD. We found a significant difference between patients who have hyperlipidemia and who had not,  $\alpha = 0.023$ .

There was also a significant difference in fibrosis score of different patients' categories and hypertension,  $\alpha = 0.000$

We also studied the effects of medications on NAFLD prognosis and fibrosis score. As shown in Table 3 below, there was no significant difference between patients categories and the following independent factors; metformin, insulin or sulfonylurea use,  $\alpha$  values were 0.975, 0.706 and 0.393 respectively.

Regarding anti-hypertensive agents; there was no significant difference between using antihypertensive agents; Beta-blocker, ACEI or CCB and fibrosis score,  $\alpha$  values were 0.413, 0.182, and 0.304 respectively.

Concerning use of anti-hyperlipidemic agents, there was a significant difference between patients categories and anti-hyperlipidemic agents (statins),  $\alpha = 0.015$

## 4. DISCUSSION

Insulin resistance and adipose tissue dysfunction which occur as a result of imbalance of adipokines (such as leptin and adiponectin) secretion [27], are the main contributing factors relate obesity to NAFLD rather than fat accumulation [28]. J. M. Clark et.al, (2002) reported that NAFLD occur in 30% of obese men and 40% of obese women [29].

Our results come in agreement with the previous report by J.M Clark where obesity was highly prevalent among our patients, 65.5% of our patients have BMI  $>30$  Kg/m<sup>2</sup>.

Twenty patients were on insulin and 37 were not using insulin. There was no significant different between the 2 groups in terms of fibrosis score which implies insulin resistance in both categories (resistance to internally produced insulin or exogenously introduced insulin that lead to obesity which complicate NAFLD and increased fibrosis core in both).

Lifestyle modification consisting of diet, exercise, and weight loss has been advocated to treat patients with NAFLD in all guidelines [31].

Sedentary behavior can be defined as a state of prolong sitting, laying down, consuming very small amounts of energy in which the muscles are inactive (low-intensity exercises or movement) [32,33].

Sedentary behavior will increase in people who have a metabolic syndrome, excessive adiposity, cardiovascular disease and type 2 diabetes mellitus [34,35]. Sedentary time of NAFLD patient is nearly half an hour extra than healthy

people [34]. We found that 39.9% of subjects in our study have a sitting time more than 7 hours per day which was reflected on their high BMI and dyslipidemia. However this wasn't shown to be significantly associated with NAFLD. This may be due to the fact that sitting time is not a direct risk factor for NAFLD. On the other hand, sedentary life style leads to obesity and dyslipidemia which in turn lead to prognoses of NAFLD.

The incidence of NAFLD is positively associated with the increase in sitting time independent of physical activity and exercises [36 and 37]. A study done in 2016 in China was reported that the prevalence of NAFLD depends on the sitting time and it will be higher in people with a sitting time of 7.1hours/day and longer [36]. Another study reported that the moderate or intense exercises have significant benefit for NAFLD patients [38]. The reduction in the physical activity have documented especially in NAFLD patient who also suffered from diabetes [39].

**Table 1. Socio-demographic characteristics of the NAFLDs patients (n=203)**

Variables and its categories		Frequency (n)	Percentage (%)
Residency	City	80	39.4
	Village	121	59.6
	Camp	2	1
Gender	Male	84	41.4
	Female	119	58.6
Age	20-39 years	25	12.3
	40-59 years	102	50.2
	≥ 60 years	76	37.4
	Minimum	20	
	Maximum	87	
	Mean	54.78	
	Standard deviation	12.27	
BMI	18.5-24.4 Kg/m <sup>2</sup>	20	9.9
	24.5-30 Kg/m <sup>2</sup>	50	24.6
	More than 30 Kg/m <sup>2</sup>	133	65.5
Are you smoker	Yes	42	20.7
	No	156	76.8
	Missing	5	2.5
Sitting time	1-3 hours	84	27.6
	4-7 hours	65	32.0
	More than 7 hours	81	39.9
	Missing	1	0.5
Moving time	1-3 hours	76	37.4
	4-7 hours	70	34.5
	More than 7 hours	56	27.6
	Missing	1	0.5
Number of meals	1	20	9.9
	2	111	54.7
	≥ 3	70	34.5
	Missing	2	1

Abbreviation: BMI, body mass index

\*significance at  $\alpha \leq 0.05$

**Table 2. Diseases account for the development of NAFLD (n=119)**

Variables and its categories			Fibrosis score			Total	P-value (Sig)
			more than 0.676	-1.455_0.676	less than -1.455		
Are you diabetic?	Yes	Count	51	7	0	58	0.000*
		% within fibrosis score	62.2%	21.2%	0.0%	48.7%	
		% of Total	42.9%	5.9%	0.0%	48.7%	
	No	Count	31	26	4	61	
		% within fibrosis score	37.8%	78.8%	100.0%	51.3%	
		% of Total	26.1%	21.8%	3.4%	51.3%	
Number of years of diabetes	≤ 2 years	Count	13	5	0	18	0.167
		% within fibrosis score	28.9%	71.4%	0.0%	34.6%	
		% of Total	25.0%	9.6%	0.0%	34.6%	
	3-5 years	Count	13	1	0	14	
		% within fibrosis score	28.9%	14.3%	0.0%	26.9%	
		% of Total	25.0%	1.9%	0.0%	26.9%	
	6-10 years	Count	8	0	0	8	
		% within fibrosis score	17.8%	0.0%	0.0%	15.4%	
		% of Total	15.4%	0.0%	0.0%	15.4%	
	10> years	Count	11	1	0	12	
		% within fibrosis score	24.4%	14.3%	0.0%	23.1%	
		% of Total	21.2%	1.9%	0.0%	23.1%	
Do you have hyperlipidemia?	Yes	Count	58	16	2	76	0.023
		% within fibrosis score	71.6%	48.5%	50.0%	64.4%	
		% of Total	49.2%	13.6%	1.7%	64.4%	
	No	Count	23	17	2	42	
		% within fibrosis score	28.4%	51.5%	50.0%	35.6%	
		% of Total	19.5%	14.4%	1.7%	35.6%	
Number of years of dyslipidemia	≤ 2 years	Count	20	7	2	29	0.507
		% within fibrosis score	40.0%	46.7%	100.0%	43.3%	
		% of Total	29.9%	10.4%	3.0%	43.3%	
	3-5 years	Count	15	5	0	20	
		% within fibrosis score	30.0%	33.3%	0.0%	29.9%	
		% of Total	22.4%	7.5%	0.0%	29.9%	
	6-10 years	Count	13	2	0	15	
		% within fibrosis score	26.0%	13.3%	0.0%	22.4%	

Table 2 continued.

Variables and its categories			Fibrosis score			Total	P-value (Sig)
			more than 0.676	-1.455_0.676	less than -1.455		
10> years		% of Total	19.4%	3.0%	0.0%	22.4%	
		Count	2	1	0	3	
		% within fibrosis score	4.0%	6.7%	0.0%	4.5%	
		% of Total	3.0%	1.5%	0.0%	4.5%	
Are you hypertensive?	Yes	Count	54	10	0	64	0.000*
		% within fibrosis score	65.9%	30.3%	0.0%	35.8%	
		% of Total	45.4%	8.4%	0.0%	35.8%	
	No	Count	28	23	4	55	
		% within fibrosis score	43.1%	69.7%	100.0%	46.2%	
		% of Total	23.5%	19.3%	3.4%	46.2%	

\*significance at  $\alpha \leq 0.05$

**Table 3. Role of hypoglycemic, antihypertensive and lipid lowering agents in NAFLD management (n=119)**

Variable and its categories			Fibrosis score			P- value (sig)
			More than 0.676	-1.455_0.676	Less than -1.455	
<b>Diabetes mellitus medications</b>						
<b>Do you take Metformin.</b>	Yes	Count	36	5	0	.975
		% within fibrosis score	72.0%	71.4%	0.0%	
		% of Total	63.2%	8.8%	0.0%	
	No	Count	28.0%	28.6%	0	
		% within fibrosis score	24.6%	3.5%	0.0%	
		% of Total	50	7	0.0%	
<b>Do you take Insulin.</b>	Yes	Count	18	2	0	.706
		% within fibrosis score	36.0%	28.6%	0.0%	
		% of Total	31.6%	3.5%	0.0%	
	No	Count	32	5	0	
		% within fibrosis score	64.0%	71.4%	0.0%	
		% of Total	56.1%	8.8%	0.0%	
<b>Do you take Sulfonylurea</b>	Yes	Count	23	2	0	.393
		% within fibrosis score	46.0%	28.6%	0.0%	
		% of Total	40.4%	3.5%	0.0%	
	No	Count	27	5	0	
		% within fibrosis score				
		% of Total				

Variable and its categories			Fibrosis score			P- value (sig)
			More than 0.676	-1.455_0.676	Less than -1.455	
% within fibrosis score			54.0%	71.4%	0.0%	
% of Total			47.4%	8.8%	0.0%	
<b>Hypertension medications</b>						
<b>Do you take Beta blockers</b>	Yes	Count	34	4	1	.413
		% within fibrosis score	60.7%	36.4%	100.0%	
		% of Total	50.0%	5.9%	1.5%	
	No	Count	22	7	0	
		% within fibrosis score	39.3%	63.6%	0.0%	
		% of Total	32.4%	10.3%	0.0%	
<b>Do you take ACEIs</b>	Yes	Count	25	3	0	.182
		% within fibrosis score	44.6%	27.3%	0.0%	
		% of Total	36.8%	4.4%	0.0%	
	No	Count	31	8	1	
		% within fibrosis score	55.4%	72.7%	100.0%	
		% of Total	45.6%	11.8%	1.5%	
Table 3 continued						
<b>Do you take CCBs</b>	Yes	Count	22	3	0	.304
		% within fibrosis score	39.3%	27.3%	0.0%	
		% of Total	32.4%	4.4%	0.0%	
	No	Count	34	8	1	
		% within fibrosis score	60.7%	72.7%	100.0%	
		% of Total	50.0%	11.8%	1.5%	
<b>Dyslipidemia medications</b>						
<b>Do you take Atorvastatin</b>	Yes	Count	51	10	1	.015*
		% within fibrosis score	86.4%	62.5%	50.0%	
	No	Count	8	6	1	
		% within fibrosis score	13.6%	37.5%	50.0%	

Abbreviations: ACEIs, angiotensin-converting-enzyme inhibitors; CCBs, Calcium channel blockers.

\*significance at  $\alpha \leq 0.05$

NAFLD has a close association with obesity, mainly visceral obesity. It is considered as the dominant risk factor for NAFLD occurrence [19, 25]. It is major leading cause of cardiovascular diseases [26].

In our study we have found that, 67 patients have dyslipidemia and 62 of them were on statins (atorvastatin). This was not associated with improvement of their overall NAFLD or dyslipidemia, rather they have worse condition comparing to other patients in this study whether they were using statins or not. This was clear as they have high fibrosis score. This might be explained in 2-ways; Patients are hesitant to use statins in our community due high cost, side effects or believes they are nt working for their condition.

On the other hand, we found that, patients in this study use statins at a late stage of their dyslipidemia or atherosclerosis. They were not using stains for reasons or doses related to NAFLD neither did they use them for sufficient time in order to be able to judge on its their efficacy.

Dyslipidemia is a critical comorbidity that is observed in NAFLD patients [63]. It is atherogenic in nature [64]. It is characterized by high triglyceride (TG) and LDL levels and low HDL levels [65]. This atherogenic abnormality increases risk of cardiovascular diseases [66]. The specific mechanism in which hyperlipidemia increases the risk of NAFLD is unclear. It may be associated with increased accumulation of lipids in liver cells [67] as a result of lipid metabolism abnormalities such as increased lipogenesis, ingestion of fatty foods and increase synthesis of very low density lipoprotein (VLDL) in addition to decreased oxidation of free fatty acid [68]

Our study was first study to predict the relationship between hypertension and NAFLD. One common factor for three conditions (dyslipidemia, hypertension and NAFLD) is obesity and or/ increased level of lipids in the body. Atherosclerosis is a major risk factor for hypertension among patients in our study. Hence come the indirect relationship between NAFLD and dyslipidemia that lead to atherosclerosis which lead to hypertension.

Our results came even more controversial where patient who took statins had worse score of NAFLD fibrosis comparing to those who didn't take it, as explained above.

## 5. CONCLUSION

Tight control of hypertension and dyslipidemia are mandatory among all NAFLD patients. Lifestyle modifications such as low fat and carb diets and exercise, are important measurement to fight obesity, hypertension and diabetes hence they will improve fibrosis in NAFLD patients. studies about use of stains, their dose, timing and adherence are mandatory to judge on statins benefit for NAFLD.

## CONSENT AND ETHICAL APPROVAL

As per international standard or university standard written ethical approval has been collected and preserved by the author(s). This was a multistage process where we have to take permission from the IRC of our University to run the research. Then we took the permission of ministry of health in Ramallah and the district health office in Hebron to run the study in their hospital and to collect data from patients.

We prepared a consent form where we have to take consent from each patient or his guardian to participate in the research and to share information with us for the purpose of research only. We guarantee the confidentiality of his/her personal information. Participants and/or their care giver, or guardian had to sign the consent form on top of the interview-based questionnaire.

## ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

We would like to thank all staff members at Hebron Gov. Hospital for their cooperation with our team and providing all necessary logistic support.

## COMPETING INTERESTS

Authors have declared that no competing interests exist.

## REFERENCES

1. Giorda C, Forlani G, Manti R, Mazzella N, De Cosmo S, Rossi MC, et al. AMD-annals study group. Occurrence over time and regression of nonalcoholic fatty liver disease in type 2 diabetes, Diabetes/Metabolism Research And Reviews. 2017;33.4:e2878.
2. Chalasani N, Younossi Z, Lavine JE, Charlton M, Cusi K, Rinella M, et al. The



- diagnosis and management of nonalcoholic fatty liver disease: practice guidance from the American Association for the Study of Liver Diseases, *Hepatology*. 2018;67(1):328-357.
3. Wong RJ, Cheung R, Ahmed A. Nonalcoholic steatohepatitis is the most rapidly growing indication for liver transplantation in patients with hepatocellular carcinoma in the US, *Hepatology*. 2014;59(6):2188-2195.
  4. Stättermayer AF, Traussnigg S, Aigner E, Kienbacher C, Huber-Schönauer U, Steindl-Munda P, Stadlmayr A, et al. Low hepatic copper content and PNPLA3 polymorphism in non-alcoholic fatty liver disease in patients without metabolic syndrome, *Journal of Trace Elements in Medicine and Biology*. 2017;39:100-107.
  5. Buzzetti E, Pinzani M, EA Tsochatzis. The multiple-hit pathogenesis of non-alcoholic fatty liver disease (NAFLD), *Metabolism*. 2016;65(8):1038-1048.
  6. Nuñez-Durán E, Aghajan M, Amrutkar M, Sütt S, Cansby E, Booten SL, et al. Serine/threonine protein kinase 25 antisense oligonucleotide treatment reverses glucose intolerance, insulin resistance, and nonalcoholic fatty liver disease in mice, *Hepatology communications*. 2018;2(1):69-83.
  7. Bellentani S, Scaglioni F, Marino M, Bedogni G. Epidemiology of non-alcoholic fatty liver disease, *Digestive diseases*. 2010;28(1):155-161.
  8. ZM Younossi, AB Koenig, Abdelatif D, Fazel Y, Henry L, Wymer M. Global epidemiology of nonalcoholic fatty liver disease—meta-analytic assessment of prevalence, incidence, and outcomes, *Hepatology*. 2016;64(1):73-84.
  9. Chiloiro M, Caruso MG, Cisternino AM, Inguaggiato R, Reddavid R, Bonfiglio CV. Ultrasound evaluation and correlates of fatty liver disease: A population study in a Mediterranean area, *Metabolic syndrome and related disorders*. 2013;11(5):349-358.
  10. Zelber-Sagi S, Nitzan-Kaluski D, Halpern Z, Oren R. Prevalence of primary non-alcoholic fatty liver disease in a population-based study and its association with biochemical and anthropometric measures, *Liver International*. 2006;26(7): 856-863.
  11. Benedict M, Zhang X. Non-alcoholic fatty liver disease: An expanded review. *World Journal of Hepatology*. 2017;9(16):715.
  12. Sookoian S, Pirola CJ. Systematic review with meta-analysis: Risk factors for non-alcoholic fatty liver disease suggest a shared altered metabolic and cardiovascular profile between lean and obese patients, *Alimentary pharmacology and therapeutics*. 2017;46(2):85-95.
  13. Asrih M, FR Jornayvaz. Metabolic syndrome and nonalcoholic fatty liver disease: Is insulin resistance the link? *Molecular and Cellular Endocrinology*. 2015;418:55-65.
  14. Jung U, NS Choi. Obesity and its metabolic complications: The role of adipokines and the relationship between obesity, inflammation, insulin resistance, dyslipidemia and nonalcoholic fatty liver disease, *International journal of molecular sciences*. 2014;15(4):6184-6223.
  15. Bugianesi E, Moscatiello S, MF Ciaravella, Marchesini G. Insulin resistance in nonalcoholic fatty liver disease, *Current pharmaceutical design*. 2010;16(17):1941-1951.
  16. BC Shil, Saha M, Ahmed F, SC Dhar. Nonalcoholic fatty liver disease: study of demographic and predictive factors, *Euroasian Journal of Hepato-Gastroenterology*. 2015;5(1):4.
  17. Masarone M, Federico A, Abenavoli L, Loguercio C, Persico M. Nonalcoholic fatty liver: Epidemiology and Natural History, *Reviews On Recent Clinical Trials*. 2014;9(3):126-133.
  18. Hamaguchi M, Kojima T, Ohbora A, Takeda N, Fukui M, Kato T. Aging is a risk factor of nonalcoholic fatty liver disease in premenopausal women, *World journal of Gastroenterology: WJG*. 2012;18(3):237.
  19. Hamaguchi M, Kojima T, Takeda N, Nakagawa TH, Taniguchi Fujii K, et al. The metabolic syndrome as a predictor of nonalcoholic fatty liver disease, *Annals Of Internal Medicine*. 2005;143(10):722-728.
  20. Amarapurkar D, Kamani P, Patel NP. Gupte Kumar P, Agal S, et al. Prevalence of non-alcoholic fatty liver disease: Population-Based Study, *Annals of Hepatology*. 2007;6(3):161-163.
  21. Marino L, Jornayvaz FR. Endocrine causes of nonalcoholic fatty liver disease, *World Journal of Gastroenterology: WJG*. 2015; 21(39):11053.
  22. Suzuki A, Abdelmalek MF. Nonalcoholic fatty liver disease in women, *Women's health*. 2009;5(2):191-203.

23. Brady CW. Liver disease in menopause, *World Journal of Gastroenterology*. 2015; 21(25):7613.
24. Marino L, Jornayvaz FR. Endocrine causes of nonalcoholic fatty liver disease, *World Journal of Gastroenterology: WJG*. 2015; 21(39):11053.
25. Luyckx FH, Lefebvre PJ, Scheen AJ. Non-alcoholic steatohepatitis: Association with obesity and insulin resistance, and influence of weight loss, *Diabetes and Metabolism*. 2000;26(2):98-106.
26. Yoshitaka H, Hamaguchi M, Kojima T, Fukuda T, Ohbora A, Fukui M. Nonoverweight nonalcoholic fatty liver disease and incident cardiovascular disease: A Post Hoc Analysis of a Cohort Study, *Medicine*. 2017;96(18).
27. Buzzetti E, Pinzani M, Tsochatzis EA. The multiple-hit pathogenesis of non-alcoholic fatty liver disease (NAFLD), *Metabolism*. 2016;65(8):1038-1048.
28. Jornayvaz FR, Shulman GI. Diacylglycerol activation of protein kinase C $\epsilon$  and hepatic insulin resistance, *Cell metabolism*. 2012; 15(5):574-584.
29. Clark JM, Brancati FL, Diehl AM. Nonalcoholic Fatty Liver Disease, *Gastroenterology*. 2002;122:1649-57.
30. Miyake T, Kumagi T, Hirooka M, Furukawa S, Koizumi M, Tokumoto Y, et al. Body mass index is the most useful predictive factor for the onset of nonalcoholic fatty liver disease: a community-based retrospective longitudinal cohort study, *Journal of Gastroenterology*. 2013;48(3): 413-422.
31. Leoni S, Tovoli F, Napoli L, Serio I, Ferri S, Bolondi L. Current guidelines for the management of non-alcoholic fatty liver disease: A systematic review with comparative analysis, *World Journal of Gastroenterology*. 2018;24(30):3361.
32. JA Bell, Kivimaki M, Batty GD, Hamer M. Metabolically healthy obesity: what is the role of sedentary behaviour? *Preventive Medicine*. 2014;62:35-37.
33. Owen N, Sparling PB, Healy GN, Dunstan DW, Matthews CE. Sedentary behavior: emerging evidence for a new health risk, *Mayo Clinic Proceedings*. 2010;85:1138-1141.
34. Hallsworth K, Thoma C, Moore S, Ploetz T, Anstee QM, Taylor R, et al. Non-alcoholic fatty liver disease is associated with higher levels of objectively measured sedentary behaviour and lower levels of physical activity than matched healthy controls, *Frontline Gastroenterology*. 2015;6:44-51.
35. Levine JA. Sick of sitting, *Diabetologia*. 2015;58:1751-1758.
36. Wei H, Qu H, Wang H, Deng H. Associations between sitting time and non-alcoholic fatty liver diseases in Chinese male workers: A cross-sectional study, *Biomedical Central Journal-Open*. 2016:6.
37. Ryu S, Chang Y, Jung HS, Yun KE, Kwon MJ, Choi Y, et al. Relationship of sitting time and physical activity with non-alcoholic fatty liver disease, *Journal Of Hepatology*. 2015;63:1229-1237.
38. Trenell MI. Sedentary behaviour, physical activity, and NAFLD: Curse of the chair, *Journal of Hepatology*. 2015;63(5): 1064-1065.
39. Gerber L, Otgonsuren M, Mishra A, Escheik C, Biredinc A, Stepanova M, et al. Non-alcoholic fatty liver disease (NAFLD) is associated with low level of physical activity: a population-based study, *Alimentary Pharmacology and Therapeutics*. 2012;36(8):772-781.
40. Loomba R, Abraham M, Unalp A, Wilson L, Lavine J, Doo E. Association between diabetes, family history of diabetes, and risk of nonalcoholic steatohepatitis and fibrosis, *Hepatology*. 2012;56(3):943-951.
41. Kawaguchi T, Taniguchi E, Itou M, Sakata M, Sumie S, Sata M. Insulin resistance and chronic liver disease, *World Journal Of Hepatology*. 2011;3(5):99.
42. AL Birkenfeld, GI Shulma. Nonalcoholic fatty liver disease, hepatic insulin resistance and type 2 diabetes, *Hepatology*. 2014;59(2):713-723.
43. Williams KH, Shackel NA, Gorrell MD, McLennan SV, Twigg SM. Diabetes and nonalcoholic fatty liver disease: A Pathogenic Duo, *Endocrine Reviews*. 2012;34(1):84-129.
44. Bhatt HB, Smith RJ. Fatty liver disease in diabetes mellitus, *Hepatobiliary Surgery and Nutrition*. 2015;4(2):101.
45. Lallukka S. Non-alcoholic fatty liver disease: The role of insulin resistance, inflammation and the PNPLA3 I148M variant, *Dissertationes Scholae Doctoralis Ad Sanitatem Investigandam Universitatis Helsinkiensis*, 2018.
46. Bugianesi E, Gastaldelli A, Vanni E, Gambino R, Cassader M, Baldi S, et al. Insulin resistance in non-diabetic patients with non-alcoholic fatty liver disease: Sites

- and mechanisms, *Diabetologia*. 2005;48(4):634-642.
47. Mills EP, Brown KPD, Smith JD, Vang PW, Trotta K. Treating nonalcoholic fatty liver disease in patients with type 2 diabetes mellitus: A review of efficacy and safety, *Therapeutic Advances In Endocrinology And Metabolism*. 2018;9(1):15-28.
  48. Cholaneril R, Patel V, Perumpail B, Yoo E, Iqbal U, Sallam S. et al. Anti-diabetic medications for the pharmacologic management of NAFLD, *Diseases*. 2018; 6(4):93.
  49. Inzucchi SE, Bergenstal RM, Buse JB, Diamant M, Ferrannini E, Nauck M, et al. Management of hyperglycaemia in type 2 diabetes, 2015: A patient-centred approach. Update to a position statement of the American Diabetes Association and the European Association for the Study of Diabetes, *Diabetologia*. 2015;58(3):429-442.
  50. Prat LI, Tsochatzi EA. The effect of antidiabetic medications on non-alcoholic fatty liver disease (NAFLD), *Hormones*. 2018;1-11.
  51. Rakoski MO, Singal AG, Rogers MAM, Conjeevaram H. Meta-analysis: Insulin sensitizers for the treatment of non-alcoholic steatohepatitis, *Alimentary pharmacology and therapeutics*. 2010; 32(10):1211-1221.
  52. Tacelli M, Celsa C, Magro B, Giannetti A, Pennisi G, Spatola F, et al. Antidiabetic drugs in NAFLD: The accomplishment of two goals at once? *Pharmaceuticals*. 2018; 11(4):121.
  53. Paul SK, Shaw JE, Montvida O, Klein K. Weight gain in insulin-treated patients by body mass index category at treatment initiation: New evidence from real-world data in patients with type 2 diabetes, *Diabetes, Obesity and Metabolism*. 2016; 18(12):1244-1252.
  54. Singh S, Singh PP, Singh AG, Murad MH, Sanchez W. Anti-diabetic medications and the risk of hepatocellular cancer: A systematic review and meta-analysis, *The American Journal Of Gastroenterology*, 2013;108(6):881.
  55. Ryysy L, Häkkinen AM, Goto T, Vehkavaara S, Westerbacka J, Halavaara J. Hepatic fat content and insulin action on free fatty acids and glucose metabolism rather than insulin absorption are associated with insulin requirements during insulin therapy in type 2 diabetic patients, *Diabetes*. 2000;49(5):749-758.
  56. Calle EE, Kaaks R. Overweight, obesity and cancer: epidemiological evidence and proposed mechanisms, *Nature Reviews Cancer*. 2004;4(8):579-591.
  57. Inkster B, Zammitt NN, Frier BM. Drug-induced hypoglycaemia in type 2 diabetes, *Expert Opinion On Drug Safety*. 2012;11(4):597-614.
  58. Goh GBB, Pagadala MR, Dasarathy J. Diabetes mellitus, insulin, sulfonylurea and advanced fibrosis in non-alcoholic fatty liver disease, *Journal Of Diabetes And Metabolism*. 2014;5:1-5.
  59. Hazlehurst JM, Woods C, Marjot T, Cobbold JF, Tomlinson JW. Non-alcoholic fatty liver disease and diabetes, *Metabolism*. 2016;65(8):1096-1108.
  60. Oikonomou D, Georgiopoulos G, Katsi V, Kourek C, Tsioufis C, Alexopoulou A, et al. Non-alcoholic fatty liver disease and hypertension: coprevalent or correlated? *European Journal of Gastroenterology & Hepatology*. 2018;30(9):979-985.
  61. Aneni EC, Oni ET, Martin SS, Blaha MJ, Agatston AS, Feldman T, et al. Blood pressure is associated with the presence and severity of nonalcoholic fatty liver disease across the spectrum of cardiometabolic risk, *Journal Of Hypertension*. 2015;33(6):1207-1214.
  62. Shim KY, Eom YW, Kim MY, Kang SH, Baik SK. Role of the renin-angiotensin system in hepatic fibrosis and portal hypertension, *The Korean Journal of Internal Medicine*. 2018;33(3):453.
  63. Diehl AM, Day C. Cause, pathogenesis, and treatment of nonalcoholic steatohepatitis, *New England Journal of Medicine*. 2017;377(21):2063-2072.
  64. Nseir W, Shalata A, Marmor A, Assy N. Mechanisms linking nonalcoholic fatty liver disease with coronary artery disease, *Digestive Diseases And Sciences*. 2011;56(12):3439-3449.
  65. Sahebkar A, Sahebkar GT, Watts GF. New peroxisome proliferator-activated receptor agonists: Potential treatments for atherogenic dyslipidemia and non-alcoholic fatty liver disease, *Expert Opinion On Pharmacotherapy*. 2014;15(4):493-503.
  66. Katsiki N, Mikhailidis DP, Mantzoros CS. Non-alcoholic fatty liver disease and dyslipidemia: An update, *Metabolism*. 2016;65(8):1109-1123.

67. Carr RM, Ahima RS. Pathophysiology of lipid droplet proteins in liver diseases, *Experimental cell research*. 2016;340(2): 187-192.
68. Röss C, Kaser S. Mechanisms of intrahepatic triglyceride accumulation, *World Journal of Gastroenterology*. 2016; 22(4):1664.
69. Alkhoufi N, Eng K, Lopez R, Nobili V. Non-high-density lipoprotein cholesterol (non-HDL-C) levels in children with nonalcoholic fatty liver disease (NAFLD), *Springerplus*. 2014;3(1):407.
70. Chatrath H, Hemant R, Chalasani N. "Dyslipidemia in patients with nonalcoholic fatty liver disease, *Seminars In Liver Disease*. 2012;32(1).
71. Athyros VG. GREACE study collaborative group: Safety and efficacy of long-term statin treatment for cardiovascular events in patients with coronary heart disease and abnormal liver tests in the Greek Atorvastatin and Coronary Heart Disease Evaluation (GREACE) Study: A Post-Hoc Analysis, *Lancet*. 2010;376:1916-1922.
72. Sigler MA, Congdon L, Edwards KL. An evidence-based review of statin uses in patients with nonalcoholic fatty liver diseases, *Clinical Medicine Insights: Gastroenterology*. 2018;11:1179552218787502.
73. Zhang QQ, Lu LG. Nonalcoholic fatty liver disease: Dyslipidemia, risk for cardiovascular complications, and treatment strateg, *Journal of Clinical and Translational Hepatology*, 2015;3(1):78.
74. Hyogo H, Tazuma S, Arihiro K, Iwamoto K, Nabeshima Y, Inoue M, et al. Efficacy of atorvastatin for the treatment of nonalcoholic steatohepatitis with dyslipidemia, *Metabolism*. 2008;57(12): 1711-1718.
75. Magan-Fernandez A, Rizzo M, Montalto G, Marchesini G. Statins in liver disease: Not only prevention of cardiovascular events, *Expert Rev. Gastroenterol. Hepatol*. 2018;12:743-744.
76. Zhang J, Wang L, Wu B, Gao N, Kang N. Effect of atorvastatin combined with metformin on the lipid metabolism, hyperinsulinemia and oxidative stress in patients with nonalcoholic fatty liver disease, *Journal of Hainan Medical University*. 2017;23(9):60-63.
77. Imprialos KP, Stavropoulos K, Doumas M, Skalkou A, Zografou I, Athyros VG. The potential role of statins in treating liver diseases, *Expert Review of Gastroenterology & Hepatology*. 2018;12(4):331-339.

© 2021 Shaheen et al.; This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0>), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

*Peer-review history:*  
*The peer review history for this paper can be accessed here:*  
<http://www.sdiarticle4.com/review-history/66133>