

*Biotechnology Journal International*

*Volume 26, Issue 6, Page 63-70, 2022; Article no.BJI.95354 ISSN: 2456-7051 (Past name: British Biotechnology Journal, Past ISSN: 2231–2927, NLM ID: 101616695)*

# **Assessment of Microbial Load and Multidrug-Resistant Profile of Bacterial Flora from Cattle in Bauchi, Nigeria**

# **M. Peter a\*, M. Y. Iliyasu <sup>a</sup> , M. M. Wali <sup>a</sup> , M. R. Sahal <sup>a</sup> , T. Inusa <sup>a</sup> , S. Ismai'l <sup>a</sup> , R. D. Umar <sup>b</sup> , H. Tahir <sup>b</sup> and Z. M. Kabeer <sup>c</sup>**

*<sup>a</sup>Department of Biological Sciences, Abubakar Tafawa Balewa University, ATBU, Bauchi, Nigeria. <sup>b</sup>Department of Science Laboratory Technology, Abubakar Tatari Ali Polytechnic, ATAP, Bauchi, Nigeria. <sup>c</sup>Biology Department, School of Science, Aminu Saleh College of Education, Azare, Bauchi State, Nigeria.*

# *Authors' contributions*

*This work was carried out in collaboration among all authors. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.*

#### *Article Information*

DOI: 10.9734/BJI/2022/v26i6666

#### **Open Peer Review History:**

This journal follows the Advanced Open Peer Review policy. Identity of the Reviewers, Editor(s) and additional Reviewers, peer review comments, different versions of the manuscript, comments of the editors, etc are available here: https://www.sdiarticle5.com/review-history/95354

> *Received: 26/10/2022 Accepted: 30/12/2022 Published: 31/12/2022*

*Original Research Article*

#### **ABSTRACT**

**Aim:** The study aimed to assess the bacterial load of in rectal swabs from cattle by isolating *Enterococcus* spp and *Escherichia coli,* and determining the multidrug-resistant pattern of the isolates.

**Study Design:** The study is a clinical-veterinary laboratory investigation involving the isolation and determination of the multidrug-resistant (MDR) profile of *Enterococcus* spp and *E. coli* isolated from cattle rectal.

\_

*<sup>\*</sup>Corresponding author: E-mail: petermoses2474@gmail.com*

**Place and Duration of Study:** This study was carried out in the Yelwa and Gubi campuses Farm centers of Abubakar Tafawa Balewa University (ATBU), Bauchi, Nigeria, in period extended from April to June 2021.

**Methodology:** Fresh rectal swab samples were collected from the randomly selected cattle and labeled. The samples were immediately transported and processed in the Microbiology laboratory at Yelwa Campus, and the bacterial load of each sample was determined using standard techniques. *Enterococcus* spp and *E. coli were* isolated using differential culture media followed by an appropriate biochemical identification test. The isolates were subjected to the Kirby-Bauer disc diffusion method, to assess the antimicrobial susceptibility pattern.

**Results:** In Yelwa, the highest microbial load is 2.7 x 10<sup>12</sup> CFU/g. while the lowest microbial load is 2.0 x  $10^{12}$  CFU/g. In the Gubi campus, the highest microbial load is 3.4 x  $10^{12}$  CFU/g. while the lowest microbial load is 2.7 x  $10^{12}$  CFU/g. Both in Yelwa and Gubi , the result showed that most isolates of *Enterococcus* spp and *E. coli* are multidrug-resistant. In Yalwa some of the isolates showed 100% resistance against Norfloxacin, Rifampicin, Ampicillin, and Streptomycin, while Gentamycin gave the lowest multidrug resistance (57.4%). In Gubi, the highest was to ampicillin with (90.6%) frequency, while the lowest resistance was found in Chloramphenicol (11.3%). In Yelwa, a high percentage resistance (92.6%) was observed in Streptomycin, and Cephalexin has the lowest (20.4%). In Gubi, all the *E. coli* isolates had 100% resistance against sulfamethoxazole, and the lowest was in Ofloxacin (43.4%).

**Conclusion:** This study found that cattle in the area are reservoirs of bacteria that are both part of the normal flora and opportunistic pathogens, and harbored resistance phenotypes. It is therefore advocated that the use of these animals' faeces as manure should be done with caution, particularly after pre-treatments.

*Keywords: Multidrug-resistance; yelwa; gubi; Enterococcus sp; Escherichia coli; cattle.*

#### **1. INTRODUCTION**

"The development of large-scale concentrated animal feeding operations (CAFOs) has increased the extensive use of veterinary antimicrobials in the treatment of infections, prevention of diseases, and promotion of growth" [1,2]. "Similarly, antimicrobials administered to cattle provide selective advantages for antimicrobial-resistant bacteria (ARBs) to develop in cattle intestines" [3]. "Cattle manure could be a reservoir of bacteria carrying Antimicrobial Resistant Genes (ARGs) and Mobile Genetic Elements (MGEs) such as plasmids" [4,5]. These antimicrobial-resistant bacteria can be transmitted to humans and cause an infection or transform the normal human flora to be pathogenic and resistant to drugs. This is increasingly becoming a threat to human health in our society. Among such prominent bacteria are *Escherichia coli* and *Enterococcus species*.

*"Escherichia coli* is a Gram-negative, facultatively anaerobic, rod-shaped, coliform bacterium of the [genus](https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Genus) *[Escherichia](https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Escherichia)* that is commonly found in the lower [intestine](https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Intestine) of [warm](https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Warm-blooded)[blooded](https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Warm-blooded) animals" [6]. "Most *E. coli* [strains](https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Strain_(biology)) are harmless, but some [serotypes](https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Serotype) (EPEC, ETEC, etc.) can cause serious food [poisoning](https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Foodborne_illness) in their hosts and are occasionally responsible for [food](https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Food_contamination)  [contamination](https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Food_contamination) incidents that prompt [product](https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Product_recall)  [recalls,](https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Product_recall) The harmless strains are part of the [normal microbiota](https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Human_microbiota) of the [gut,](https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Gut_(zoology)) and can benefit their hosts by producing [vitamin](https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Vitamin_K)  [K](https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Vitamin_K)2" [7], *E. coli* and other [facultative](https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Facultative_anaerobic_organism)  [anaerobes](https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Facultative_anaerobic_organism) constitute about 0.1% of [gut](https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Gut_microbiota)  [microbiota](https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Gut_microbiota) in both humans and cattle [8], and [fecal-oral transmission](https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Fecal%E2%80%93oral_route) is the major route through which pathogenic strains of the bacterium cause disease. It takes as little as 20 minutes to reproduce. *Colibacillosis* also known as: *E. coli* infection, enterotoxigenic *E. coli*  (ETEC) or Septicaemic *Colibacillosis*. Various serotypes of enterotoxigenic *E. coli* can cause either diarrhoea or septicaemia in very young calves [9,10]. Septicemic *colibacillosis* is a major cause of early calf deaths. The condition is often fatal or leads to post-septicaemic infections that are often non-responsive to treatment. *"Enterococcus* species represent a subgroup of the group D faecal *Streptococcus* and as a coccus, they are spherical and occur either singly, in pairs, or as short chains. They are Gram-positive, facultatively anaerobic, lactic-acid-producing bacteria that live as commensal bacteria in the gastrointestinal tract of humans and animals. Members of this group include *Enterococcus faecalis, Enterococcus faecium, Enterococcus* 

*gallinarum*, or *Enterococcus casseliflavus, Enterococcus mundtii,* and *Enterococcus avium*, which exhibit significant differences in the incidence of virulence factors, antibiotic resistance genes and distribution in fresh and dry cattle manure" [11]. "*Mundtii* is the species most commonly reported in cattle manure" [12]. Generally, the enterococci are considered avirulent and harmless, however, *E. faecalis* and *E. faecium* are notable opportunistic pathogens causing nosocomial infection in humans. Important clinical infections caused by *Enterococcus* includes Urinary tract infections, bacteremia, endocarditis, diverticulitis, meningitis and spontaneous bacterial peritonitis. They are also one of the environmental causative agents of mastitis.

"Antimicrobial agents are the major drugs of choice of physician's desk to treat pathogenic infections. It has been observed that some clinicians prescribe the medicine based on the symptoms instead of performing diagnostic tests. This prescribing pattern may be one of the reasons for the development of resistant bacteria to antibiotics" [13], "Therefore, Antimicrobial susceptibility testing (AST) plays an important role to check the effectiveness of a drug against a bacterium and select the best drug that acts against the bacterium. Livestock practices vary from one individual to another and from one geographical location to the other, but eventually, influence the microbial structure of manure released by the animals. Manure provides a different biological and physicochemical environment to<br>microorganisms" [14] "Viruses represent microorganisms" [14] "Viruses represent another group of pathogens that exist in cattle manure. Originally, these pathogens inhabit the intestinal tracts of animals and are typically shed in this habitat asymptomatically. Seemingly, both animals and humans on and off farms are exposed to the potential health risks allied to inadequate management of manure. Consequently, the fate of these pathogens in manure to pollute, contaminate and infect the environment and humans, respectively, is based on the pathogen's ability to survive in manure following excretion" [14]. The aim of this study is to therefore determine the microbial load antimicrobial susceptibility patterns of bacteria (*E. coli* and *Enterococcus sp*) from cattle in Yelwa and Gubi campus of Abubakar Tafawa Balewa University Bauchi, Nigeria.

#### **2. MATERIALS AND METHODS**

#### **2.1 Study Area**

This study was carried out at the Yelwa and Gubi campuses of Abubakar Tafawa Balewa University (ATBU) Bauchi, North-Eastern Nigeria. Yelwa covered a total land mass of 15km<sup>2</sup>. The Gubi campus is located in Gubi village of Ganjuwa local Government of Bauchi state, covering 48  $km^2$ . The area is dominated by tropical Sudan Savannah, characterized by agricultural activities both on a large and small scale which include crop production and cattle rearing.

#### **2.2 Samples Collection**

A total of 107 rectal samples were randomly collected from randomly selected white Fulani cattle breed from the two campuses, between April to June 2021. A 10 g each of the fresh faecal and rectal samples were collected aseptically into a sterile plain container using a Scoop and labeled appropriately. The samples were transported using an icebox with ice packs to the Microbiology laboratory at Yelwa Campus of Abubakar Tafawa Balewa University Bauchi for immediate processing.

# **2.3 Sample Processing**

The bacterial load of each sample was determined by serial dilution of each sample. A 1 gram of the sample was diluted in 10 ml of distilled water. A seven-fold serial dilution was carried out and  $0.5$ ml of  $10^{-7}$  diluent was inoculated on every 3 plates of Nutrient agar media (Oxoid, UK) and incubated at  $37^{\circ}$ C for 24 hours, and the average colonies counted were identified. *Enterococcus sp* was isolated using Brain Heart infusion broth (BHI) (LAP M) containing 5% glycerol. A 1ml of the homogenized mixture of the medium with the sample was added to 3ml Bile aesculin broth (BEB) (LAP M) and incubated under aerobic conditions at  $37^{\circ}$ C for 24 hrs. A loopful from BEB was streaked on a Bile aesculin agar (BEA) (LAP M) and incubated under aerobic conditions at  $35^\circ$  C for 48 hours. The plates were observed for white or grey typical colonies surrounded by black zones [15]. For *Escherichia coli,* the broths were inoculated to eosin methylene blue (EMB) agar (Oxoid, UK) and incubated under appropriate growth conditions. The plates were then examined for typical and presumptive round colonies of *E. coli* with a metallic sheen. Biochemical tests were performed for further identification of the isolates as described by Evans *et al.* [15].

Antimicrobial susceptibility analysis was carried out using the Kirby-Bauer disc diffusion method, according the clinical and laboratory standards Institute (CLSI) guidelines [16]. For this study, isolates were identified as antimicrobialresistant (AMR) based on the criteria of resistance to at least one antimicrobial agent and as multidrug-resistant (MDR) isolate by the criteria of resistance to at least two or more different antibiotics [16].

# **3. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION**

The analysis of microbial load of cow dung from Yalwa and Gubi campuses revealed that, in Yelwa, the highest microbial load was recorded in Week 4, with 2.7 x  $10^{12}$  CFU/g and, the lowest microbial load was recorded in Week5, with 2.0 x  $10^{12}$  CFU/g. In Gubi campus the highest microbial load was observed in Week1, with 3.4  $x10^{12}$  CFU/g. While the lowest microbial load is clearly observed in Week4 and Week5 with 2.7 x  $10^{12}$  CFU/g.

Multidrug resistance pattern of *Enterococcus sp*  isolated from cattle in Yelwa and Gubi. The result shows that all the isolates are multidrugresistant, as indicated by resistance to two more drug. In Yalwa, some of the isolates showed 100% resistance against; Norfloxacin, Rifampicin, Ampicillin, and Streptomycin antibiotics while Gentamycin, shows the lowest multidrug resistance of 57.4%. In Gubi, the isolates showed multidrug resistance against Norfloxacin, Rifampicin, Erythromycin, Chloramphenicol, Gentamycin, Ciprofloxacin, and Ampicillin. The highest is ampicillin with a 90.6%, level of resistance. The lowest resistance is observed in Chloramphenicol (11.3%).

Multidrug resistance pattern of *Escherichia coli*  isolated from cow dug in Yelwa and Gubi can also be observed. In Yelwa, a high percentage of (92.6%) multidrug resistance is observed in Streptomycin, and Cephalexin have the lowest multidrug resistance of 20.4%. In Gubi, all the isolates of *E. coli* revealed 100% resistance against sulfonamides while the lowest multidrug resistance was found in Ofloxacin (43.4%).

In this study, bacteria, *Enterococcus faecalis*  and *Enterococcus fascism,* non-fastidious bacteria specifically *Escherichia coli,* were isolated from 107 rectal swabs from cattle. "Cattle dung is composed of about 80% water and supports a matrix of undigested plant material that is rich in nutrients, microorganisms, and their byproducts" [17]. "Similar bacterial isolates (*Staphylococcus aureus, Bacillus* spp*, Pseudomonas aeruginosa*, *Escherichia coli,* and *Salmonella*  spp) were isolated from cattle dung in Kampar, Malaysia, and Ekiti state, Nigeria" [18,19]. "However, the lower parts of the gut of cattle contain various microorganisms including *Enterococcus* spp*, B. subtilis,* and *Lactobacillus*  spp" [20].









The microbial load of each sample was determined in Yalwa Campus the highest microbial load was found to be 3.56 x  $10^{12}$ CFU/g and 5.78 x  $10^{12}$ CFU/g in Gubi Campus. This result is in line with bacterial density in mammal's faeces, ranging from  $10^{11}$ to 10<sup>12</sup> CFU/g as reported by Nikolina *et al.* [21]. The microbial load was high because the sample was freshly collected and analyzed immediately.

The presence of a high level of resistance to the tested antibiotics was observed in this study. The *Enterococcus* spp and *Escherichia coli* isolated were 100 % resistant to Norfloxacin, Rifampicin, Streptomycin, Ampicillin, and Sulfamethoxazole. However, Multidrug resistance is observed in all the isolates. This showed that the majority of Gram-positive isolated bacteria were resistant to Beta-lactams antibiotic while Gram-negative was more resistant to Fluoroquinolones antibiotics. Studies have shown that *Escherichia coli* and *Enterococcus spp* are sources of resistance genes for strains isolated from cattle dung even though they inhabit different ecosystems [22].

Resistance gene profiles are believed to play a very important role in mediating and transferring

resistance to antibacterial drugs in the bacteria population. They can be localized in discrete transposable elements of DNA called transposons and plasmids, which are mobile, and can move from one DNA molecule to another [5]. This can lead to the rapid spread of antibiotic resistance in a bacteria population and explains the emergence of multi-resistant strains [23].

"Bacteria use three main strategies to get protected against β-lactams: alteration in Penicillin Binding Proteins (PBPs) which reduces the affinity of β- lactams, efflux pumps which remove the antibiotic from the bacterial periplasmic space, and production of βlactamases which hydrolyze the ring of βlactams" [24, 25]. "However, resistance to quinolones has been a problem ever since Nalidixic acid was introduced into clinical medicine more than 40 years ago" [25]. "Generally, three mechanisms of resistance to quinolones are currently recognized: mutations that alter the drug targets, mutations that reduce drug accumulation, and plasmids that protect cells from the lethal effects of quinolones" [26].

"Bacteria such as *Enterococcus* spp and *E. coli,*  among others isolated from cattle dung were reported to be resistant to several antibiotics including Penicillin, Amoxicillin, Ofloxacin, Pefloxacin, Ciprofloxacin, and Tetracycline" [27]. A similar resistant pattern was also reported by [28] in *Escherichia coli*, *Aeromonas hydrophila, Salmonella typhi, Staphylococcus aureus,* and *Shigella dysenteriae* from cattle dung with isolates showing high resistance to ampicillin, amoxicillin, gentamicin chloramphenicol, and erythromycin. Utilization of antibiotics for other purposes other than therapy can enrich the population of resistant bacteria in the environment capable of infecting humans [29].

Nonetheless, low resistances were seen in some isolated *E. coli* against Ceporex and Ciprofloxacin which were exclusively isolated from cattle dung as well as some *Enterococcus spp* isolates that were also susceptible to Levofloxacin. This is in agreement with [30], who reported antibiotics susceptibility of *Nocardia* spp. Comparably Abu *et al.* [31] reported the sensitivity of bacterial isolates to similar antibiotics such as Ciprofloxacin and Ofloxacin and opined on their value as empiric antibiotic therapy for enteric infections. It has been shown that resistance to ciprofloxacin is usually associated with resistance to other macrolides, lincosamides, and type B streptogramin, and is referred to as MLS resistance [32].

"Multiple drug resistance was seen in pathogenic *Enterococcus spp* and *Escherichia coli* in this study. This may be attributed to the presence of resistance determinants on plasmids with similar selective markers or as a result of the independent, simultaneous development of resistance to different agents" [31]. "These suggest that bacteria have the unique characteristics of being able to transfer resistance genes from one bacterium to another in different populations and habitats" [33].

"Multi-drug resistance profiles have also been reported in enteric bacteria from both human and animal sources" [34, 35]. "Persistent multiple drug resistance of most isolates to appropriate drugs of choice is of great public health concern and calls for periodic monitoring of antibiograms to detect possible changing patterns" [36].





# **4. CONCLUSION**

This study provides novel data on the status and distribution of antimicrobial resistance in food-producing animals. The gastrointestinal microbiota of cattle harbour commensal bacterial species with various antibiotic resistance profile of public health concerns, particularly among the *Enterococci* species. Antimicrobial stewardship in veterinary medicine is very important to overcome the critical consequences MDR on human health, further investigations and monitoring studies are therefore required.

# **ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS**

We sincerely extend our deep appreciation and profound gratitude to the entire staff of Microbiology laboratory and farm centres in both Yelwa and Gubi campuses of the Abubakar Tafawa Balewa University (ATBU), Bauchi, for their utmost contribution towards the success of this research.

# **COMPETING INTERESTS**

Authors have declared that no competing interests exist.

# **REFERENCES**

- 1. Jechalke, S. Structural and functional response of the soil bacterial community to application of manure from difloxacintreated pigs. FEMS Microbiol. Ecol. 2014;87:78–88.
- 2. Van Boeckel TP, et al**.** Global antibiotic consumption 2000 to 2010: An analysis of national pharmaceutical sales data. Lancet Infect Dis. 2014;14(8):742–50.
- 3. Muurinen J, Stedtfeld R, Karkman A, Parnanen K, Tiedje J. Virta M. Influence of Manure Application on the Environmental Resistome under Finnish Agricultural Practice with Restricted Antibiotic Use. Envt. Sci. Tech. 2017;51*(*11):5989-99.
- 4. Feng-Hua W, Min Q, Zheng C, Jian-Qiang S, Yong-Guan Z. Antibiotic resistance genes in manure-amended soil and vegetables at harvest. J. Hazardous Matls. 2015;299:215–21.
- 5. Yijun K, Qing L, Zhifeng Y, Min S, Haitao Z, Yanchao B, Lijuan M, Jian H. High diversity and abundance of cultivable tetracycline-resistant bacteria in soil following pig manure application. Sci. Report. 2018;8:1-13*.*
- 6. Tenaillon O, Barrick JE, Ribeck N, Deatherage DE, Blanchard JL, Dasgupta A, Wu GC, Wielgoss S, Cruveiller S, Médigue C, Schneider D, Lenski RE. Tempo and mode of genome evolution in a 50,000-generation experiment. Nat. 2016; 536:165–70.
- 7. Bentley R, Meganathan R. Geosmin and methyl isoborneol biosynthesis in Streptomyces. Evidence for an isoprenoid pathway and its absence in nondifferentiating isolates. FEBS Lett. 1981; 125:220-222.
- 8. Eckburg PB, Low DE, File TM Jr, et al. FOCUS 2: A randomized, double-blinded, multicenter, phase III trial of the efficacy and safety of ceftaroline fosamil versus ceftriaxone in community-acquired pneumonia. J Antimicrob. Chemother. 2011;66:(Suppl 3):iii33-iii44.
- 9. Kang CI, Kim SH, Park WB. Bloodstream infections due to extended-spectrum betalactamase-producing *Escherichia coli* and *Klebsiella pneumoniae*: risk factors for mortality and treatment outcome, with special emphasis on antimicrobial therapy. *Antimicrob*. *Agents and Chemothe*r. 2004; 48:4574-81.
- 10. Grünberg W. Treatment of phosphorus balance disorders. Vet Clin North Am Food Anim Pract. 2014; 30(2):383-408.
- 11. Graves DT, Corrêa JD, Silva TA. The Oral Microbiota Is Modified by Systemic Diseases. J Dent Res. 2019;98(2):148- 156.
- 12. Soupir S, Mostaghimi ER. Yagow Nutrient transport from livestock manure applied to pastureland using phosphorus-based management strategies J. Environ. Qual*.* 2006;35:1269-1278.
- 13. Jorgensen JH, Turnidge JD. Antibacterial susceptibility tests: Manual of clinical microbiology. 9th ed. Washington, DC: American Society. Microbiology. 2007; 1152–72.
- 14. Wang J, Liu X, Li Y, Powell T, Wang X, Wang G, Zhang P. Microplastics as contaminants in the soil environment: A mini-review. Sci. Tot. Env. 2019;691:848- 857.
- 15. Evans J, Doyle J, Dolores GE. "*[Escherichia coli](http://web.archive.org/web/20071102062813/http:/www.gsbs.utmb.edu/microbook/ch025.htm)*". *Medical Microbiology,*  4th edition. The University of Texas Medical Branch, Galveston; 2007.
- 16. Clinical Laboratory Standard Institute (CLSI**)**. Performance Standards for Antimicrobial Susceptibility testing (27th

ed). CLSI supplement M100S. Wayne, Pennsylvania. 2017;250.

- 17. Muhammad S, Amusa NA. *In vitro* inhibition of growth of some seedling blight inducing pathogens by compost inhibiting microbes. Afr. J. Biotech. 2003;2(6):161– 164.
- 18. Teo KC, Teoh, SM. Preliminary biological screening of microbes isolated from cow dung in Kampar. Afr. J. Biotech. 2011;10(9):1640-45.
- 19. Igbalajobi OA, David OM, Agidigbi TS, Babalola, JA. Antibiotic resistance pattern of two indicator bacteria isolated from cow dung across ten local government areas of Ekiti State. Nigeria. Int. J. Cur. Microb. Applied Sci. 2015;4(11): 8-14.
- 20. Manyi-Loh CE, Sampson N, Mamphweli EL, Makaka G, Michael S. An overview of the control of bacterial pathogens in cattle manure. Int. J. Env. Resource Pub. Health, 2016;13(9):838-843.
- 21. Nikolina U, Fabienne W, Nichole AB, Jo H. Bloom of resident antibiotic-resistant bacteria in soil following manure fertilization. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA. 2014;111:15502–15207.
- 22. Qingxiang Y, Siwei R, Tianqi N, Yuhui G. Distribution of antibiotic-resistant bacteria in chicken manure and manure-fertilized vegetables. J. Undergrad. Res. Innov. 2013;1(3):219-227.
- 23. Nain VK, Khurana GS, Singh S, Vashitha A, Sangeeta A. Antibiotic resistance pattern in bacterial isolates obtained from different water samples of Delhi Region. Afr. J. Bact. Res. 2015;9(1):1-8.
- 24. Zapun A, Contreras-Martel C. and Vernet T**.** Penicillin-binding proteins and betalactam resistance. FEMS Microb. Rev, 2008;32:361-385.
- 25. Bush K. Proliferation and significance of clinically relevant beta-lactamases. Ann. NY Acad Sci. 2013;1277(1):84-90.
- 26. Hooper DC, Jacogy GA Mechanisms of drug resistance: Quinolone resistance. Ann. NY Acad. Sci; 2015. DOI:10.1111/nyas.12830
- 27. Bharti S, Maneesha S. Isolation and characterization of bacteria from cow dung

of desi cow breed on different morphobiochemical parameters in Dehradun, Uttarakhand, India. Int. J. Adv. Pharm. Biol. Chem. 2015;4(2):276-281.

- 28. Omojowo FS, Omojasola FP. Antibiotic resistance pattern of bacterial pathogens isolated from cow dung used to fertilize Nigerian fish ponds. Nat. Sci. Biol. 2013; 5(1):15-19.
- 29. Jose LM. Environmental pollution by antibiotics and by antibiotic resistance determinants. Env. Pollution. 2009;157: 2893–2902.
- 30. Barbara AB, June MB, Patricia SC, Richard JW. Clinical and laboratory features of the Nocardia spp. based on current molecular taxonomy. Clin. Microb. Rev. 2006;19(2):259-282.
- 31. Abu GO, Wondikom AC. Isolation, Characterization and Antibiotic Resistance Profile Studies of Bacteria from an Excavated Pond in Port Harcourt Metropolis. Nigeria J. Applied Sci. Env. Mgt. 2018;22(8):1177–84.
- 32. Ndirika D, Nnabue MU, Amechi SN, Chinwe JA. Pathogenic bacteria prevalence in a selected environmental sample and their sensitivity to routine antibiotics. Int. J. Cur Microb. Applied Sci. 2016;5(8):862-872.
- 33. Mandal MD, Mandal S, Pal NK. Antibiotic resistance prevalence and pattern in environmental bacterial isolates. The Open Antimicrob Agents J. 2011;3:45-52.
- 34. Ikpeme E, Nfongeh J, Enyi-Idoh K, Eja ME, Etim L. Antibiotic susceptibility profiles of enteric bacterial isolates from dumpsite utisols and water sources in a rural community in cross river state, southern Nigeria. Nat. Sci. 2011;9(5):46-50.
- 35. You Y, Silbergeld KE. Learning from agriculture: understanding low-dose antimicrobials as drivers of resistome expansion. Frontiers Microb. 2014;5 (284):1-10.
- 36. Davies J. Inactivation of antibiotics and the dissemination of resistance genes. Sci. 2014;264: 375–382.

*© 2022 Peter et al.; This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License [\(http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0\)](http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.*

\_

*Peer-review history: The peer review history for this paper can be accessed here: https://www.sdiarticle5.com/review-history/95354*